1. Appendix 1: Water supply compliance

All water suppliers have an obligation to comply with the Health Act 1956 and meet the *Drinking-Water Standards for New Zealand* *2005 (Revised 2008)* (the Standards).

This appendix provides information on each water supply, and whether it complied with the relevant sections of the Health Act and the relevant Standards. It groups water supplies by health district within New Zealand, which are listed in north-to-south order. Within each health district, water suppliers and supplies are listed alphabetically.

For all water supplies, this appendix provides information about the supply’s source water (where the water comes from), its routine disinfection processes (that is, what steps the supplier takes to make the water safe to drink) and any boil-water notices put in place during the year (a supplier issues a boil-water notice to tell residents they must boil their water before drinking it due to the risk of contamination).

If the water supply has complied with the Health Act and meets the Standards, then the appendix gives no further detail.

* 1. The Health Act 1956

Water supplies are assessed against the following sections of the Health Act for the previous year. If a water supply failed to meet the Health Act, the appendix provides an explanation.

| **Section** | **Requirement** | **Description of the supplier’s duties under this section** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 69S | Adequate provision of water | The water supplier must take all practicable steps to ensure an adequate supply of drinking water is provided to each point of supply |
| 69U | Source protection | The water supplier must take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination |
| 69Y | Monitoring frequency in accordance with the Standards | The water supplier must monitor the drinking water to check whether it meets drinking-water Standards or presents a public health risk |
| 69Z | Water safety plan | The water supplier is required to prepare and implement a water safety plan and have it approved by a drinking water assessor, with the exception of small water suppliers. The supplier must review its water safety plan at least every five years |
| 69ZD | Adequate records | The water supplier must keep records containing sufficient information to allow a drinking-water assessor to assess whether they comply with the Act |
| 69ZE | Investigation of complaints | The water supplier must record and investigate all complaints about the water it provides |
| 69ZF | Appropriate remedial actions following a monitoring transgression | If the water supply does not meet Standards, the supplier must take appropriate steps to correct the problem |

* 1. The Standards

Water supplies are assessed against the relevant Standards for bacteria, protozoa and specified chemicals. If the supply failed to meet the Standards because of bacteria or chemicals, the appendix provides additional information about why it did so. If the supply failed to meet the Standards for protozoa, the appendix provides no additional detail; more information may be available from the water supplier.

* + 1. Northland

#### Supplier: Carrington Farms Jade LP

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Carrington Estate** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Doubtless Bay Water Supply Co

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Doubtless Bay** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,000 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Doubtless Bay did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Doubtless Bay failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Far North District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kaikohe** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,200 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Kaitaia** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 5,400 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Kawakawa/Moerewa** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 3,500 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Kerikeri** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 6,700 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Okaihau** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 800 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Omanaia** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 180 |
| The water supply uses surface water, and parts of the supply are treated by UV. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Omanaia did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Omanaia failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards. |
| **Omapere** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 900 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Paihia** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,000 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Rawene** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 600 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Hukerenui Community

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hukerenui** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses surface water, and parts of the supply are treated by UV. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Hukerenui did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements it therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hukerenui failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards. |

#### Supplier: Kaipara District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dargaville** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,683 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Kaihu-Dargaville** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 324 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Kaihu-Dargaville failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect source water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to adequately investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE), and also failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards. |
| **Mangawhai Heads** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Maungaturoto** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 980 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Maungaturoto met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ruawai** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 426 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Ngāti Rehia Wai Trust

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ngāti Rehia Wai Trust** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Ngāti Rehia Wai Trust did not take reasonable steps to protect the source water from contamination and did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y), and failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Pakanae Community Water Supply

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Pakanae** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Russell Township-Commercial

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Russell Township-Commercial** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Russell Township-Commercial did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Russell Township-Commercial failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waimā Hapū Community

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Waimā Hapū Community** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Waimā Hapū Community failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69Y and 69ZE), and failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waipapa Water Works

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Waipapa, Lock** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Whangarei District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Bream Bay** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 8,500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Bream Bay had some disinfection by-products that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Bream Bay met the bacterial and protozoal Standards. |
| **Maungakaramea** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Whangarei** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 56,530 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Whangaroa Health Services Trust

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kaeo Hospital** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 134 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Kaeo Hospital did not take enough *E. coli* samples throughout their whole supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kaeo Hospital failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Whirinaki Water Board

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Whirinaki** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Whirinaki did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Whirinaki failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. North, West, Central and South Auckland

#### Supplier: Auckland Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Āwhitu Regional Park** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Āwhitu Regional Park did not undertake sufficient *E. coli* monitoring throughout their supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Āwhitu Regional Park also had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Matiatia Wharf** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 800 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Matiatia Wharf did not undertake sufficient *E. coli* monitoring throughout their supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Matiatia Wharf failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Beachlands Network Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Beachlands Networks** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Beachlands Networks did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Beachlands Networks failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: BP Oil NZ Ltd, Bombay

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Bombay Motorway Services** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Glenbrook Irrigation Company

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Waiuku, Glenbrook Beach Road** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.Waiuku, Glenbrook Beach Road had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply. Waiuku, Glenbrook Beach Road met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |

#### Supplier: Haranui Whanau

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Haranui Whanau** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Kingseat Foundation

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kingseat Community** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Pine Harbour Holdings Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Pine Harbour** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Southpark Utilities Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kensington Park** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 450 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Tauranganui Marae

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tauranganui Marae** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 475 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Tauranganui Marae did not undertake sufficient *E. coli* monitoring throughout their supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Tauranganui Marae failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply. Tauranganui Marae met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |

#### Supplier: Vector Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Broadway Park, Newmarket** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 760 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and fluoridated. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 2,610 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |

#### Supplier: Veolia Water, Papakura

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Burnside Road** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 352 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |
| **Papakura** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 48,513 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |

#### Supplier: Village Fields Water Company

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Village Fields Subdivision** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 152 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Village Fields Subdivision did not undertake sufficient *E. coli* monitoring throughout their supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Village Fields Subdivision failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Village Fields Subdivision met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |

#### Supplier: Watercare Services Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Auckland** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,271,459 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and fluoridated. *E. coli* was detected in 3 of 8,935 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |
| **Bombay** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 609 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Helensville/Parakai** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,579 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Huia Village** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 597 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Muriwai** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 563 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Snells/Algies** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,664 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Waiuku** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 8,697 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Warkworth** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,111 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Wellsford/Te Hana** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,114 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

* + 1. Waikato

#### Supplier: Department of Conservation (Whakapapa V)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Whakapapa Village** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Whakapapa Village met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Fonterra Waitoa

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Waitoa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Waitoa did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of one disinfection by-product that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Waitoa failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Waitoa met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Hahei Beach Water Supply Association

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hahei, Pa Road** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Hahei, Pa Road did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hahei, Pa Road failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Hamilton City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hamilton** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 132,486 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Hauraki District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kaimanawa** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 204 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Kaimanawa met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kerepehi** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,552 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Paeroa** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,887 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Waihi** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,927 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Waitakaruru** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,076 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Waitakaruru met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Land Information New Zealand

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tokanui** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Tokanui met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Matamata-Piako District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Matamata** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 6,943 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Matamata did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Matamata failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Morrinsville** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 6,603 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Morrinsville met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tahuna** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Tahuna met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Te Aroha** | Failed Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 3,768 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Te Aroha did not have a water safety plan, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Z). |

#### Supplier: Otorohanga District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Arohena** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 260 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Arohena did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Arohena failed the bacterial Standards for 20 people; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kawhia** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 390 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Kawhia met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Otorohanga** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,050 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Otorohanga met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tihiroa** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Tihiroa met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Piriaka Community Group Inc

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Piriaka** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Piriaka did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Piriaka failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Puahue School BOT

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Puahue School** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 170 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.Puahue School did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Puahue School failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Ruapehu District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **National Park** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 240 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.National Park met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ohakune** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Ohakune met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ohura** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Ohura met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Owhango** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Owhango met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Raetihi** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 749 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Raetihi met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Taumarunui** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,870 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Taumarunui met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: South Waikato District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Arapuni** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Putāruru** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,116 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 2 of 513 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Putāruru met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tīrau** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 700 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Tokoroa** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 13,300 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Taharoa Ironsands Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Taharoa Village** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Taharoa Village did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Taharoa Village failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 331 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd failed the bacterial Standards for 216 people.Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |

#### Supplier: Te Aputa Water Supply Society

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Te Puru-Aputa Avenue** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.Te Puru-Aputa Avenue did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, it did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Te Puru-Aputa Avenue failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Thames Coromandel District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Coromandel** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,718 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Coromandel failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Coromandel failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Matarangi** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 317 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Matarangi met the chemical Standards but failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply (process) and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Matatoki** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Matatoki did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Matatoki failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Onemana** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 116 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Onemana met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Pauanui** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 750 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Pauanui did not meet the maximum interval requirements and therefore failed to comply with the Act (69Y). Because of this, Pauanui failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Puriri** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Puriri did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Puriri failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tairua** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,314 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Tairua met the chemical Standards but failed the bacterial Standards (process) and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Thames** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 7,657 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV.Thames met the monitoring requirements of the Act (69Y). However failed the bacterial Standards as Thames did not maintain high enough levels of chlorine; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Thames Valley** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Thames Valley did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Thames Valley failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Whangamatā** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,674 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, and parts of the supply are chlorinated.Whangamatā did not have a water safety plan and failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z and 69Y). Because of this, Whangamatā failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Whitianga** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,550 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Whitianga met the chemical Standards but failed the bacterical Standards for the whole supply (process) and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waikato District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Huntly** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 7,340 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. |
| **Ngaruawahia** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 6,879 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Ngaruawahia had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 5,691 people.Ngaruawahia met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |
| **North Western District, Waikato DC** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 115 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. |
| **Pokeno** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 519 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |
| **Raglan** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,750 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Southern Districts, Waikato DC** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 5,466 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. |
| **Te Kauwhata** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,149 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. |
| **Tuakau** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 3,942 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |

#### Supplier: Waikato Regional Airport

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hamilton Airport East Side Terminal** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Hamilton Airport East Side Terminal did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hamilton Airport East Side Terminal failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Hamilton Airport East Side Terminal met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |
| **Hamilton Airport West Side Aviation Area** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 350 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Hamilton Airport West Side Aviation Area did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hamilton Airport West Side Aviation Area failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waipa District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cambridge** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 15,203 |
| The water supply uses surface water. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Cambridge met the monitoring requirements of the Act (69Y), but failed the Standards because monitoring results did not meet requirements of the Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kihikihi** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,000 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Pukerimu Rural** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 3,746 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Te Awamutu and Pirongia** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 10,665 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Te Awamutu and Pirongia met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waitomo District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Benneydale** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 280 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Benneydale did not take *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Benneydale failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Mōkau, Waitomo** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Mōkau, Waitomo Mokau met the provisions of Act, and also met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Piopio** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. Piopio met provisions of the Act. The supply is subject to membrane filtration and is chlorinated. Piopio met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Te Kuiti** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,612 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Te Kuiti failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Te Kuiti failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waitomo Holdings Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Waitomo Caves** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Waitomo Caves did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waitomo Caves failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Tauranga

#### Supplier: Tauranga City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tauranga** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 103,783 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Western Bay of Plenty District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Athenree** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 5,125 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Katikati** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 5,700 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Omokoroa Minden** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 6,450 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Pongakawa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,600 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.Pongakawa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Pongakawa failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Pongakawa met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |
| **Te Puke** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 8,260 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Te Puke Bush** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

* + 1. Eastern Bay of Plenty

#### Supplier: Bryans Beach Water Society

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ohiwa Beach** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Ohiwa Beach failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Ohiwa Beach failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Hinekopurangi Trust

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ruatahuna Village** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Ruatahuna Village failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Ruatahuna Village failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Kawerau District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kawerau** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 7,721 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.Kawerau had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 800 people.Kawerau met the chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Kutarere Community Water Supply

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kutarere** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Kutarere did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kutarere failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Omaio Waterline Committee

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Omaio** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 180 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Omaio failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Omaio failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Ōpōtiki District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ōpōtiki** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,530 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Te Kaha** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Te Kaha met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Whakatane District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Matatā** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 690 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Matatā failed their monitoring requirement, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Matatā failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Murupara** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,674 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Murupara met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Rangitaiki Plains** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,262 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Rangitaiki Plains failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Rangitaiki Plains failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Rangitaiki Plains had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for 3,916 people. |
| **Ruatoki** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 560 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Ruatoki failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Ruatoki failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tāneatua** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 790 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Te Mahoe** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Te Mahoe met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waimana** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Waimana met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Whakatane** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 21,020 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Whanarua Bay Water Supply

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Whanarua Bay** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Whanarua Bay did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Whanarua Bay failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Rotorua and Taupō

#### Supplier: Brunswick Stage Three/Four Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Brunswick 4** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 110 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.Brunswick 4 did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Brunswick 4 failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Brunswick 4 met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |

|  |
| --- |
| Supplier: Kaingaroa Forest Village Papakainga Trust |
| **Kaingaroa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Kaingaroa failed to provide adequate safe drinking water and had too large a gap between *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S and 69Y). Because of this, Kaingaroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

|  |
| --- |
| Supplier: Kinloch Park Residents Association |
| **Kinloch Park** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 140 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Kinloch Park did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kinloch Park failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

|  |
| --- |
| Supplier: Rotorua Lakes Council |
| **Hamurana/Kaharoa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,700 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Hamurana/Kaharoa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hamurana/Kaharoa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Mamaku** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 868 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Mamaku met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ngongotahā** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,826 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Reporoa** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,060 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Rotoiti** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 880 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Rotoma** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 340 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Rotorua Central** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 42,500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 159 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |
| **Rotorua East** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 10,414 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Rotorua East did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Rotorua East failed the bacterial Standards for 84 people.Rotorua East met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |

|  |
| --- |
| Supplier: Taupō District Council |
| **Acacia Bay** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,381 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Acacia Bay did not provide enough continuous monitoring data for free available chlorine and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, it therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Acacia Bay failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Acacia Bay had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for 1,512 people. |
| **Atiamuri Village** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 134 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Atiamuri Village met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Bonshaw Park** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 152 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Bonshaw Park failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Bonshaw Park failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Centennial Drive** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Centennial Drive failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Centennial Drive failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Centennial Drive had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. It also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hatepe Village** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 174 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Hatepe Village had arsenic that exceeded maximum acceptable values and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Hatepe Village failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Hatepe Village met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kinloch** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,696 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Kinloch did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Kinloch failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Kinloch had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. It also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Mangakino** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,312 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Mangakino met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Motuoapa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 739 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Motuoapa failed their monitoring requirements by having, on occasion, low concentrations of chlorine and high turbidity. They did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Motuoapa failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Motuoapa had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. It also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply |
| **Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,883 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards.Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **River Road Reporoa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 197 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.River Road Reporoa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, River Road Reporoa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Taupō-Lake Terrace** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 23,501 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |
| **Tirohanga Valley Community** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 327 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Tirohanga Valley Community failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Tirohanga Valley Community failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Turangi** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 3,938 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. |
| **Whakamaru** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 116 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Whakamaru failed their monitoring requirement, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Whakamaru failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Whareroa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 313 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Whareroa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Whareroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Gisborne

|  |
| --- |
| Supplier: Gisborne District Council |
| **Gisborne City** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 30,600 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Gisborne City met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Te Karaka** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 491 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Whatatutu** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Mangahauini Inc

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Enihau** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 130 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Enihau did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U, 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Enihau failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Ngāti Porou Hauora

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Te Puia Springs** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Te Puia Springs did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Te Puia Springs failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Taranaki

#### Supplier: Cold Creek Community Water Supply Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cold Creek (Pihama)** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 350 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: New Plymouth District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Inglewood** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 3,983 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **New Plymouth** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 59,072 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. |
| **Oakura** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,625 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Okato** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 530 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: South Taranaki District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Eltham** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,980 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Hāwera** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 9,710 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |
| **Inaha** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 495 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Inaha met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Opunake** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,370 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Patea** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,150 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Rahotu** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 115 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Waimate West** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,880 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Waverley** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 950 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |

#### Supplier: Stratford District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Midhirst** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Stratford** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 6,773 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |

* + 1. Hawke’s Bay

#### Supplier: Central Hawke’s Bay District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Porangahau** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Porangahau met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Takapau** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 570 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Waipawa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,355 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Waipawa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waipawa failed the bacterial Standards for 1,809 people; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waipukurau** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,666 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Waipukurau failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waipukurau failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Farm Road Water Supply Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Farm Road** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Farm Road failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, failed their monitoring requirements and failed to investigate complaints. Farm Road therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Farm Road failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Hastings District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Clive** | Failed Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 560 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.Clive did not have a water safety plan, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Z). |
| **Hastings Urban** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 64,764 |
| The water supply uses groundwater. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 3,063 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Hastings Urban met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Haumoana/Te Awanga** | Failed Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,900 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.Haumoana/Te Awanga did not have a water safety plan, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Z). |
| **Omahu** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 126 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Omahu met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waimarama** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 260 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Waimarama met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Whakatu** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 337 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Whirinaki, Hawkes Bay** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 800 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 461 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Whirinaki, Hawkes Bay met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Napier City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Napier** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 50,804 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Napier did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Napier failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Ngāti Pāhauwera Inc Soc

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Raupunga** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Raupunga failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U and 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Raupunga failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Wairoa District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tuai Village** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. |
| **Wairoa** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,650 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

* + 1. Whanganui, Rangitikei and Southern Ruapehu

#### Supplier: Ministry of Defence, Waiouru

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Waiouru** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,800 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Waiouru did not have a water safety plan, failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z, 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Waiouru failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Waiouru had one disinfection by-product that exceeded maximum acceptable values and was not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Rangitikei District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Bulls** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,419 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Bulls met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hunterville** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 480 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Hunterville met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Mangaweka** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Mangaweka did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Mangaweka failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Marton** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,764 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Marton did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF).Marton had one disinfection by-product that exceeded maximum acceptable values and was not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Marton met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Rātana** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 337 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Rātana met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Taihape** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,584 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Taihape met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Whanganui District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Brunswick-Westmere** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 450 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Brunswick-Westmere did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Brunswick-Westmere failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Fordell** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 350 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Maxwell** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Mowhanau Beach** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Wanganui** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 39,025 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated with ozone.Wanganui did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Wanganui failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Wanganui met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |

* + 1. Manawatu

#### Supplier: Brandlines Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Longburn, Brandlines** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Longburn, Brandlines failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Longburn, Brandlines failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Horowhenua District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Foxton** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,700 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Foxton Beach** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,900 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Levin** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 20,000 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 2 of 455 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Levin met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Shannon** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,436 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Shannon met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tokomaru** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 550 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Tokomaru met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Kiwitea Rural Scheme

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kiwitea Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 230 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Kiwitea Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples throughout their whole supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kiwitea Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Longburn Adventist College

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Longburn Adventist College** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Longburn Adventist College did not take enough *E. coli* samples throughout their whole supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Longburn Adventist College failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Manawatu District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Feilding** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 15,419 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and fluoridated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Feilding did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Feilding failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Halcombe-Stanway** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 800 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Halcombe-Stanway did not have a water safety plan and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z and 69Y). Because of this, Halcombe-Stanway failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Himatangi Beach** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 423 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Rongotea** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 163 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Sanson** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 540 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Sanson did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Sanson failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waituna West** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 226 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.Waituna West did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waituna West failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Waituna West met the chemical Standards and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Massey University

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Massey University** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 9,000 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Ministry of Defence, Ohakea

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ohakea** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 800 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Ohakea did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Ohakea failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: New Zealand Defence Force

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Linton Military Camp** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,500 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Linton Military Camp did not have a water safety plan, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Z).Linton Military Camp met the chemical Standards but failed the bacterial Standards (process) and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Palmerston North City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ashhurst** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,800 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Ashhurst had fluoride that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Ashhurst met the bacterial and protozoal Standards. |
| **Bunnythorpe** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 450 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |
| **Longburn** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 240 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Longburn had fluoride that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Longburn met the bacterial and protozoal Standards. |
| **Palmerston North City** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 67,653 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |

#### Supplier: Pleckville Rural Water Supply Committee

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Pleckville** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Pleckville did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Pleckville failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Pongaroa Rural Water Supply Committee

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Pongaroa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Pongaroa failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Pongaroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Tararua District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dannevirke** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 6,000 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Dannevirke did not have a water safety plan, did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed to keep adequate records. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z, 69Y and 69ZD). Because of this, Dannevirke failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Eketahuna** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 456 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Eketahuna met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Norsewood** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Norsewood did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Norsewood failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Pahiatua** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,700 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Pahiatua did not have a water safety plan, did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements and failed to keep adequate records. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z, 69Y and 69ZD). Because of this, Pahiatua failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Woodville** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Woodville did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and failed to keep adequate records, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZD). Because of this, Woodville failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Wellington and Hutt

#### Supplier: Greater Wellington Water

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Wellington Region Bulk Water** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 350,000 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and fluoridated and parts of the supply are treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 1,827 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |

#### Supplier: Hutt City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Lower Hutt** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 95,469 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and fluoridated and parts of the supply are treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 2,278 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |

#### Supplier: Kāpiti Coast District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hautere** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 700 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Hautere was deemed compliant with the provisions of the Act. Hautere was non-compliant at the treatment plant for protozoal standards but was compliant in the distribution zone for bacteriological and chemical standards. |
| **Ōtaki** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 5,700 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Ōtaki was deemed compliant with the provisions of the Act. Ōtaki was non-compliant at the treatment plant for protozoal Standard but was compliant in the distribution zone for bacterial and chemical Standards. |
| **Paekakariki** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,665 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Paekakariki met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waikanae/Paraparaumu/ Raumati** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 35,800 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 235 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |

#### Supplier: Porirua City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Judgeford** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |
| **Porirua** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 46,444 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 2 of 585 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |

#### Supplier: Upper Hutt City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Upper Hutt** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 34,650 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |

#### Supplier: Wellington City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Wellington City** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 165,126 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |

* + 1. Wairarapa

#### Supplier: Carterton District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Carterton** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,200 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Carterton failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Carterton failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Fernridge Waters Supply Association Inc

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Fernridge** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 320 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Masterton District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Masterton** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 19,000 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. |
| **Tinui** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Wainuioru Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 184 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Wainuioru Rural failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Wainuioru Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Opaki Water Supply Association

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Opaki** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,500 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Opaki met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: South Wairarapa District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Featherston** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,580 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Featherston failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Featherston failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Featherston failed to monitor one disinfection by-product, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Greytown** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,952 |
| The water supply uses groundwater. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Greytown failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Greytown failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Martinborough** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,505 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Martinborough did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Martinborough failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Nelson

#### Supplier: Appleby Hills Residents Association Inc

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Appleby Hills** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |

#### Supplier: Central Takaka Water Board

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Central Takaka** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 125 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Central Takaka did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Central Takaka failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Department of Conservation (St Arnaud)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Lake Rotoiti** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Glenwood Water Company

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Glenwood** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Lower Moutere Water Scheme Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Lower Moutere Water Scheme 1** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 450 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Lower Moutere Water Scheme 1 did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements and failed to investigate complaints, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Lower Moutere Water Scheme 1 failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Nelson City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nelson** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 49,740 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Tasman District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Collingwood** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 450 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Collingwood met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Dovedale Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 450 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Dovedale Rural did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Dovedale Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Eighty Eight Valley Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Eighty Eight Valley Rural had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hope/Brightwater** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,730 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Hope/Brightwater met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kaiteriteri** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Kaiteriteri met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Mapua Rise** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 192 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Motueka** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,200 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Motueka met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Murchison** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 490 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Murchison met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Pohara** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Pohara met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Redwood Valley 1** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 180 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Redwood Valley 1 met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Redwood Valley 2** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 370 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Redwood Valley 2 met the chemical Standards but failed the bacterial Standards (process) and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Richmond/Waimea Industrial** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 12,300 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.Richmond/Waimea Industrial had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values for 1,800 people, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards.Richmond/Waimea Industrial met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |
| **Tapawera** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Waimea Mapua Ruby Bay** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Waimea Mapua Ruby Bay met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Wakefield** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Wakefield met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Marlborough

#### Supplier: Flaxbourne Water Scheme Inc

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ward** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Ward failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Ward failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Marlborough District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Awatere** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,333 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Awatere did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Awatere failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Awatere failed to monitor lead and nickel, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for 1,000 people. |
| **Blenheim** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 24,028 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Blenheim failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Blenheim failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Havelock** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 618 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Havelock met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Picton/Waikawa** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,185 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Renwick** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,884 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Renwick met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Riverlands Industrial** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 740 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Riverlands Industrial met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Wairau Valley Township** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Wairau Valley Township met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Ministry of Defence, Woodbourne

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Woodbourne RNZAF Base** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,500 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV.Woodbourne RNZAF Base did not have a water safety plan, did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed to keep adequate records. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z, 69Y and 69ZD). Woodbourne RNZAF Base failed to monitor fluoride, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Woodbourne RNZAF Base met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Okiwi Bay Ratepayers Association Inc.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Okiwi Bay** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.Okiwi Bay met the protozoal and chemical Standards but failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply (process). |

#### Supplier: Rarangi North Water Supply Inc

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Rarangi** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Rarangi did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Rarangi failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. West Coast

#### Supplier: Buller District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hector/Ngakawau** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 435 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Hector/Ngakawau did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hector/Ngakawau failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Little Wanganui** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Little Wanganui did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Little Wanganui failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Punakaiki** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 230 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Punakaiki had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Reefton** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 951 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period..Reefton had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waimangaroa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Waimangaroa did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waimangaroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Westport** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,974 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Westport met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Grey District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Blackball** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 280 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Greymouth** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 8,320 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Runanga** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,280 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Runanga met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Westland District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Fox Glacier** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 252 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period..Fox Glacier did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Fox Glacier failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Franz Josef** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,611 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Franz Josef did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and failed to keep adequate records, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZD. Because of this, Franz Josef failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Harihari** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 348 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Harihari met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hokitika** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,447 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Hokitika did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and failed to keep adequate records, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZD). Because of this, Hokitika failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Hokitika failed to monitor some disinfection by-products, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kumara** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 318 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Kumara had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ross** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 291 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Ross met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Whataroa** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 405 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Whataroa did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Whataroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Canterbury

#### Supplier: Ashburton District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ashburton** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 18,500 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Ashburton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Chertsey** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 230 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Fairton** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 210 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Hakatere Upper** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 110 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Hakatere Upper met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hinds** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 340 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Hinds met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Mayfield** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Mayfield met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Methven** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,700 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. Methven met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Methven/Springfield** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 178 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Methven/Springfield met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Mt Somers** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 260 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Mt Somers met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Rakaia** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,100 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Chatham Islands Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Waitangi, Chatham Island** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 125 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Waitangi, Chatham Island did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waitangi, Chatham Island failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Christchurch City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Akaroa** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,350 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Akaroa met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Birdlings Flat** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Birdlings Flat met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Brooklands/Kainga** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,600 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Brooklands/Kainga met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Christchurch Central** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 255,500 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated. *E. coli* was detected in 6 of 2,580 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Christchurch Central failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69S).Christchurch Central met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Duvauchelle** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 139 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Duvauchelle met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Little River** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 240 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.Little River met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Lyttelton** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,450 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 2 of 903 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Lyttelton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Northwest Christchurch** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 80,000 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 1,625 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Northwest Christchurch met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Takamatua** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Takamatua met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Wainui** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Wainui met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Christchurch International Airport

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Christchurch International Airport** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 6,100 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Christchurch International Airport met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Defence Department, Burnham

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Burnham Military Camp** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,700 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Burnham Military Camp did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Burnham Military Camp failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Dorie School

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dorie School** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 110 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Dorie School did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Dorie School failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Highbank Water Society

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Highbank Society Water Supply** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 220 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. |

#### Supplier: Hurunui District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Amberley** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,921 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Amuri Plains Rural Water Supply** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 699 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Amuri Plains Rural Water Supply had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable levels, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ashley Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 5,832 |
| The water supply uses groundwater. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Ashley Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for 5,430 people. |
| **Balmoral Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 273 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Balmoral Rural had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable levels, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Broomfield** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 565 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Cheviot** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 888 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Cheviot met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Culverden** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 366 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Hanmer** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 948 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 209 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Hanmer met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hawarden** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 753 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 160 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Hawarden met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kaiwara** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 129 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Kaiwara met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Lower Waitohi** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 315 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Lower Waitohi met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Motunau, Greta, Scargill** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 681 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Motunau, Greta, Scargill met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Parnassus Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 210 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Parnassus Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waiau Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 435 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Waiau Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waiau Township** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 255 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **Waipara Township** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 285 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Waipara Township met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waitohi Upper** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 513 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Waitohi Upper had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Kaikōura District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Fernleigh Rural Water Supply** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Fernleigh Rural Water Supply met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kaikōura** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,500 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Kaikōura met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kaikōura East Coast Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Kaikōura East Coast Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kaikōura East Coast Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kincaid Rural Water Supply** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Kincaid Rural Water Supply did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kincaid Rural Water Supply failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Oaro** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Oaro did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Oaro failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ocean Ridge** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 500 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Ocean Ridge had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Living Springs Trust

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Living Springs** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 180 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Living Springs did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Living Springs failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Living Springs met the chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Lyndhurst Water Scheme Co-Operative Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Lyndhurst** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |

#### Supplier: Okains Bay Water Committee

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Okains Bay** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 105 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Okains Bay did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Okains Bay failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Selwyn District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Arthurs Pass** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 350 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Arthurs Pass had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Castle Hill** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 299 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Castle Hill met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Claremont** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 170 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Darfield** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 3,520 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Dunsandel & Sherwood Estate** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 480 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. |
| **Edendale, Sandy Knolls** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 180 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Edendale, Sandy Knolls did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Edendale, Sandy Knolls failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Edendale, Sandy Knolls failed to monitor nitrate, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Edendale, Sandy Knolls met the protozoal Standards. |
| **Johnson Road, West Melton** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 160 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Johnson Road, West Melton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kirwee** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,207 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is treated by UV. |
| **Lake Coleridge** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 148 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Lake Coleridge had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Leeston** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,350 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Lincoln** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 5,400 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Malvern Hills RWS** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,592 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Malvern Hills RWS had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 1,409 people.Malvern Hills RWS met the chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Prebbleton** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,906 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Prebbleton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Rakaia Huts** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 313 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Rolleston** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 15,047 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 2,656 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |
| **Selwyn RWS** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,160 |
| The water supply uses surface water. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. Selwyn RWS met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Sheffield/Waddington** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 585 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Sheffield/Waddington did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Sheffield/Waddington failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Southbridge** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 992 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Southbridge met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Springfield** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 520 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period..Springfield met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Springston** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 510 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Tai Tapu** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 606 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| West Melton | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,800 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 398 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |

#### Supplier: Southpark Utilities Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Waterloo Business Park, Christchurch** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is treated by UV.Waterloo Business Park did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Waterloo Business Park failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: The Store, Kekerengu

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kekerengu** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 325 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Kekerengu failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Kekerengu failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waimakariri District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cust** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 343 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Garrymere** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 105 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Garrymere met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kaiapoi** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 12,488 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Mandeville** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,353 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Mandeville met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ohoka** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 218 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Oxford Rural No 1** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 783 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 267 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Oxford Rural No 1 met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Oxford Rural No 2** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 773 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Oxford Rural No 2 met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Oxford Urban** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,173 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Pegasus** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,003 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Poyntz Road, Eyrewell** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 208 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Poyntz Road, Eyrewell met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Rangiora** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 17,438 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |
| **Waikuku** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,153 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Waikuku met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **West Eyreton** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 608 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. |
| **Woodend** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,858 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |

* + 1. South Canterbury

#### Supplier: Arowhenua Rūnanga

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Arowhenua** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 215 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Arowhenua did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Arowhenua failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: DOC Aoraki Mt Cook

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Mt Cook** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 350 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 24 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |

#### Supplier: Hakataramea Water Scheme Inc

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hakataramea Valley Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 165 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Hakataramea Valley Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Hakataramea Valley Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Mackenzie District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Albury Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 125 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Albury Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Albury Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Allandale** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Allandale had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Fairlie** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,000 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Fairlie did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Fairlie failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tekapo** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 500 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Tekapo met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Twizel** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,300 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Twizel did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Twizel failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Timaru District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Downlands** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,550 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Downlands met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Geraldine** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,121 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Geraldine did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Geraldine failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hadlow** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 312 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated with ozone.Hadlow met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Pareora** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 450 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Pareora met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Peel Forest** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 130 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Pleasant Point** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,200 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.Pleasant Point failed its monitoring requirement, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Pleasant Point failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Seadown** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 895 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Seadown met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **St Andrews** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 280 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.St Andrews met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Te Moana Scheme** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,650 |
| The water supply uses mixed sources and parts of the supply are chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. Te Moana Scheme failed its monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Te Moana Scheme failed the bacterial Standards for 650 people; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Temuka** | Failed Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 4,620 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Temuka failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69S). |
| **Timaru City** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 26,832 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated with ozone.Timaru City met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waimate District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cannington/Motukaika Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Cannington/Motukaika Rural had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hook/Waituna Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,350 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Hook/Waituna Rural had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Lower Waihao Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 600 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Lower Waihao Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Otaio/Makikihi Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 430 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Otaio/Makikihi Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waihaorunga Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 141 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Waihaorunga Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waikakahi Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 360 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Waikakahi Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waimate** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,000 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Waimate met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Otago

#### Supplier: Camphill Estate Utilities Society

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Camphill Estate** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 132 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Camphill Estate did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Camphill Estate failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Cardrona Water Co Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cardrona Township** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Cardrona Township did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Cardrona Township failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Central Otago District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Alexandra** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 5,000 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Alexandra met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Clyde** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,000 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Clyde met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Cromwell** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,400 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Cromwell met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Naseby** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Naseby met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Omakau/Ophir** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 400 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Omakau/Ophir met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Patearoa** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 260 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Patearoa met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Pisa Village** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 250 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Pisa Village met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Ranfurly** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 750 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Ranfurly met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Roxburgh** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 790 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Roxburgh met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Closeburn Water Company

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Closeburn** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.Closeburn failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Closeburn failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Clutha District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Balclutha** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,918 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV.Balclutha met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Clydevale-Pomahaka Rural** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 778 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Clydevale-Pomahaka Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Glenkenich Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 705 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Glenkenich Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Glenkenich Rural also had some disinfection by-products that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.Glenkenich Rural met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kaitangata** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 812 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Kaitangata did not have a water safety plan, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Z).Kaitangata met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Lawrence** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 417 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Lawrence met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Milton** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 2,529 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Milton did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Milton also had one disinfection by-product that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for 1,929 people.Milton met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Moa Flat** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 534 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Moa Flat met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **North Bruce Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 928 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.North Bruce Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). North Bruce Rural also had some disinfection by-products that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for 658 people.North Bruce Rural met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Owaka** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 303 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Owaka met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Richardson Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,003 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Richardson Rural did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Richardson Rural failed the bacterial Standards for 312 people; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Stirling** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 737 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Stirling met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tapanui** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 726 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Tapanui met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.Tapanui had fluoride that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tuapeka West** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 283 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Tuapeka West did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Tuapeka West failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waitahuna Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 922 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Waitahuna Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Waitahuna Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Dunedin City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dunedin City** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 112,515 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.Dunedin City had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 300 people.Dunedin City met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |
| **Outram** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 750 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Waikouaiti** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,642 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |
| **West Taieri** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 450 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. |

#### Supplier: Earnscleugh Domestic Water Co Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Earnscleugh Water Scheme** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Earnscleugh Water Scheme did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Earnscleugh Water Scheme failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Last Chance Community Scheme

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Last Chance** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 120 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection. |

#### Supplier: Long Gully Rural Water Scheme

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Alexandra, Long Gully** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 172 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Alexandra, Long Gully did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Alexandra, Long Gully failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Maheno Water Committee

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Maheno** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 152 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 4 monitoring samples (this is allowable). |

#### Supplier: Millers Flat Water Company Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Millers Flat** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 180 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Pisa Moorings Utilities Society

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Pisa Moorings** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 130 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Pisa Moorings did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Pisa Moorings failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Waitaki District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Awamoko** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 399 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Awamoko failed its monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Awamoko failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hampden/Moeraki** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 501 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Hampden/Moeraki failed its monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hampden/Moeraki failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Herbert** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 670 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Herbert failed its monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Herbert failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kauru Hill** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 197 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Kauru Hill failed its monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kauru Hill failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Kurow** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 330 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Lower Waitaki, Rural** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 778 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Lower Waitaki, Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Lower Waitaki, Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. Lower Waitaki, Rural did not monitor nitrate, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Oamaru** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 14,390 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated with ozone.Oamaru did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Oamaru failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.Oamaru met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |
| **Omarama** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 270 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Omarama met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Otematata** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 195 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Otematata did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Otematata failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Tokarahi/Livingstone** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 573 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Tokarahi/Livingstone did not have a water safety plan and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z and 69Y). Because of this, Tokarahi/Livingstone failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Waihemo** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,357 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Waihemo did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waihemo failed the bacterial Standards for 1,128 people.Waihemo met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |
| **Windsor** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 137 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Windsor did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirement and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Windsor failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

* + 1. Southland

#### Supplier: Gore District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gore** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 7,480 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Gore failed its monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Gore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Mataura** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,790 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Mataura did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Mataura failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Otama** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 300 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.Otama met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Invercargill City Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Invercargill** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 50,456 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Jacks Point Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Jacks Point** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 669 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |

#### Supplier: Milford Sound Infrastructure Ltd

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Milford Sound** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 220 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Milford Sound did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Milford Sound failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Queenstown Lakes District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Arrowtown** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 4,366 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Arrowtown met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Arthurs Point** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,631 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Arthurs Point met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Glenorchy** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,232 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 215 monitoring samples (this is allowable).Glenorchy met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Hawea** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,767 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.Hawea met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Lake Hayes** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 3,743 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.Lake Hayes had *E. Coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 1,697 people.Lake Hayes met the protozoal and chemical Standards. |
| **Luggate** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 855 |
| The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.Luggate met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Queenstown** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 25,271 |
| The water supply uses surface water. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.Queenstown met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Wanaka** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 13,633 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Wanaka met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Wanaka Airport** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 150 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.Wanaka Airport met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |

#### Supplier: Southland District Council

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Eastern Bush/Otahu Flat RWS** | Failed Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 180 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Eastern Bush/Otahu Flat RWS failed its monitoring requirements and failed to investigate complaints, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Eastern Bush/Otahu Flat RWS failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Edendale/Wyndham** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,152 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Lumsden/Balfour** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 1,061 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Manapouri** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 228 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Mossburn** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 201 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Ohai/Nightcaps** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 667 |
| The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.Ohai/Nightcaps met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Otautau** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 798 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Riverton** | Complied Health Act | Failed Standards | Population: 1,506 |
| The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.Riverton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. |
| **Te Anau** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,628 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Tuatapere** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 561 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |
| **Winton** | Complied Health Act | Met Standards | Population: 2,436 |
| The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. |