New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators

2015

Citation: Ministry of Health. 2016. *New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators 2015*. Wellington: Ministry of Health.

Published in December 2016  
by the Ministry of Health  
PO Box 5013, Wellington 6140, New Zealand

ISBN: 978-0-947515-93-5 (online)  
HP 6523

This document is available at health.govt.nz



 This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International licence. In essence, you are free to: share, ie, copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format; adapt, ie, remix, transform and build upon the material. You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the licence and indicate if changes were made.

Contents

Executive summary x

Introduction 1

What is a clinical indicator? 1

What are the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators? 1

Background 2

Overview 2

About the data 5

Data integrity 5

Interpretation notes 5

Notes on national data 7

Indicator 1: Registration with an LMC 13

Rationale and purpose 13

Notes on 2015 data 13

Indicator 1: Registration with an LMC in the first trimester of pregnancy, 2015 14

Indicators 2 to 5: Type of birth 17

Rationale and purpose 17

Notes on 2015 data 18

Indicator 2: Spontaneous vaginal birth among standard primiparae, 2015 19

Indicator 3: Instrumental vaginal birth among standard primiparae, 2015 22

Indicator 4: Caesarean section among standard primiparae, 2015 25

Indicator 5: Induction of labour among standard primiparae, 2015 28

Indicators 6 to 9: Damage to the lower genital tract 31

Rationale and purpose 31

Notes on 2015 data 32

Indicator 6: Intact lower genital tract among standard primiparae giving birth vaginally, 2015 33

Indicator 7: Episiotomy and no third- or fourth-degree tear among standard   
primiparae giving birth vaginally, 2015 36

Indicator 8: Third- or fourth-degree tear and no episiotomy among standard primiparae giving birth vaginally, 2015 38

Indicator 9: Episiotomy and third- or fourth-degree tear among standard   
primiparae giving birth vaginally, 2015 42

Indicator 10: General anaesthetic for women giving birth by caesarean section 45

Rationale and purpose 45

Notes on 2015 data 45

Indicator 10: General anaesthetic for women giving birth by caesarean section, 2015 46

Indicators 11 and 12: Blood transfusion during birth admission 49

Rationale and purpose 49

Notes on 2015 data 49

Indicator 11: Blood transfusion during birth admission for caesarean section delivery, 2015 50

Indicator 12: Blood transfusion during birth admission for vaginal birth, 2015 53

Indicators 13 to 15: Severe maternal morbidity 56

Rationale and purpose 56

Eclampsia (indicator 13) 56

Peripartum hysterectomy (indicator 14) 56

Mechanical ventilation (indicator 15) 56

Notes on 2015 data 57

Indicator 13: Diagnosis of eclampsia during birth admission, 2015 58

Indicator 14: Peripartum hysterectomy, 2015 60

Indicator 15: Mechanical ventilation during pregnancy or postnatal period, 2015 62

Indicator 16: Maternal tobacco use during postnatal period 64

Rationale and purpose 64

Notes on 2015 data 64

Indicator 16: Maternal tobacco use during postnatal period, 2015 65

Indicator 17: Maternal obesity 68

Rationale and purpose 68

Notes on 2015 data 68

Indicator 17: Women with BMI over 35, 2015 69

Indicator 18: Preterm birth 72

Rationale and purpose 72

Notes on 2015 data 72

Indicator 18: Preterm births, 2015 73

Indicators 19 and 20: Small for gestational age at term 76

Rationale and purpose 76

Small babies at term (indicator 19) 76

Small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation (indicator 20) 76

Notes on 2015 data 77

Indicator 19: Small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation), 2015 78

Indicator 20: Small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation, 2015 81

Indicator 21: Term babies requiring respiratory support 84

Rationale and purpose 84

Notes on 2015 data 84

Indicator 21: Babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, 2015 85

References 88

Appendices 90

Appendix 1: National Maternity Collection 90

Appendix 2: Technical notes 92

Appendix 3: Catchment areas 98

List of tables

Table 1: New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators 2

Table 2: New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicator national rates by year, 2009–2015 10

Table 3: Number and percentage of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy among all registered women, by DHB of residence, 2015 15

Table 4: Number and percentage of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy among all registered women, by facility of birth, 2015 16

Table 5: Number and percentage of spontaneous vaginal births among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015 20

Table 6: Number and percentage of spontaneous vaginal births among standard primiparae, by place of birth, 2015 21

Table 7: Number and percentage of instrumental vaginal births among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015 23

Table 8: Number and percentage of instrumental vaginal births among standard primiparae, by place of birth, 2015 24

Table 9: Number and percentage of deliveries by caesarean section among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015 26

Table 10: Number and percentage of deliveries by caesarean section among standard primiparae, by place of birth, 2015 27

Table 11: Number and percentage of inductions of labour among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015 29

Table 12: Number and percentage of inductions of labour among standard primiparae, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 30

Table 13: Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally with intact lower genital tract, by DHB of residence, 2015 34

Table 14: Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally with intact lower genital tract, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 35

Table 15: Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally and undergoing episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear, by DHB of residence, 2015 37

Table 16: Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally and undergoing episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 37

Table 17: Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear and not undergoing episiotomy, by DHB of residence, 2015 40

Table 18: Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear and not undergoing episiotomy, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 41

Table 19: Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear,   
by DHB of residence, 2015 43

Table 20: Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear,   
by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 44

Table 21: Number and percentage of women undergoing a caesarean section   
under general anaesthetic, by DHB of residence, 2015 47

Table 22: Number and percentage of women undergoing a caesarean section under general anaesthetic, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities),   
2015 48

Table 23: Number and percentage of women giving birth by caesarean section and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015 51

Table 24: Number and percentage of women giving birth by caesarean section and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 52

Table 25: Number and percentage of women giving birth vaginally and undergoing   
blood transfusion during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015 54

Table 26: Number and percentage of women giving birth vaginally and undergoing   
blood transfusion during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 55

Table 27: Number and percentage of women diagnosed with eclampsia during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015 58

Table 28: Number and percentage of women diagnosed with eclampsia during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 59

Table 29: Number and percentage of women having a peripartum hysterectomy,   
by DHB of residence, 2015 60

Table 30: Number and percentage of women having a peripartum hysterectomy,   
by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 61

Table 31: Number and percentage of women admitted to ICU and requiring over   
24 hours of mechanical ventilation any time during the pregnancy or   
postnatal period, by DHB of residence, 2015 62

Table 32: Number and percentage of women admitted to ICU and requiring over   
24 hours of mechanical ventilation any time during the pregnancy or   
postnatal period, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 63

Table 33: Number and percentage of women identified as smokers during   
postnatal period (2 weeks after birth), by DHB of residence, 2015 66

Table 34: Number and percentage of women identified as smokers during   
postnatal period (2 weeks after birth), by facility of birth   
(secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 67

Table 35: Number and percentage of women giving birth with BMI over 35   
at registration, by DHB of residence, 2015 70

Table 36: Number and percentage of women giving birth with BMI over 35   
at registration, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 71

Table 37: Number and percentage of preterm births, by DHB of residence, 2015 74

Table 38: Number and percentage of preterm births, by facility of birth   
(secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 75

Table 39: Number and percentage of small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation),   
by DHB of residence, 2015 79

Table 40: Number and percentage of small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation),   
by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 80

Table 41: Number and percentage of small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’   
gestation, by DHB of residence, 2015 82

Table 42: Number and percentage of small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’   
gestation, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 83

Table 43: Number and percentage of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, by DHB of residence, 2015 86

Table 39: Number and percentage of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities),   
2015 87

Table A1: Singleton birth exclusion criteria 93

Table A2: Cephalic presentation exclusion criteria 93

Table A3: Duration of pregnancy (gestation exclusion criteria) 93

Table A4: Obstetric complications exclusion criteria 93

Table A5: Delivery type codes 94

Table A6: Excluded delivery procedure codes 94

Table A7: Induction procedure codes 94

Table A8: Episiotomy and/or perineal tear codes 95

Table A9: General anaesthetic procedure code 95

Table A10: Blood transfusion procedure codes 95

Table A11: Eclampsia codes 96

Table A12: Peripartum hysterectomy codes 96

Table A13: 10th centile birthweight for male and female babies according to gestational age 96

List of figures

Figure 1: Number of standard primiparae as a proportion of women giving birth for the first time and of all women giving birth in 2015, by place of birth, age group, ethnic group, deprivation quintile and DHB of residence 9

Figure 2: New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicator rates by year, 2009–2015 12

Figure 3: Percentage of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy among all registered women giving birth, by DHB of residence, 2015 14

Figure 4: Percentage of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy among all registered women giving birth, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 14

Figure 5: Percentage of spontaneous vaginal births among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015 19

Figure 6: Percentage of spontaneous vaginal births among standard primiparae,   
by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 19

Figure 7: Percentage of instrumental vaginal births among standard primiparae,   
by DHB of residence, 2015 22

Figure 8: Percentage of instrumental vaginal births among standard primiparae,   
by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 22

Figure 9: Percentage of caesarean section deliveries among standard primiparae,   
by DHB of residence, 2015 25

Figure 10: Percentage of caesarean section deliveries among standard primiparae, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 25

Figure 11: Percentage of inductions of labour among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015 28

Figure 12: Percentage of inductions of labour among standard primiparae, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 28

Figure 13: Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally with intact lower genital tract, by DHB of residence, 2015 33

Figure 14: Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally with intact lower genital tract, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 33

Figure 15: Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally and undergoing episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear, by DHB of residence, 2015 36

Figure 16: Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally and undergoing episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 36

Figure 17: Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally sustaining a third-   
or fourth-degree tear and not undergoing episiotomy, by DHB of residence, 2015 38

Figure 18: Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally sustaining a third-   
or fourth-degree tear and not undergoing episiotomy, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 39

Figure 19: Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear, by DHB of   
residence, 2015 42

Figure 20: Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 42

Figure 21: Percentage of women undergoing a caesarean section under general anaesthetic, by DHB of residence, 2015 46

Figure 22: Percentage of women undergoing a caesarean section under general anaesthetic, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 46

Figure 23: Percentage of women giving birth by caesarean section and undergoing   
blood transfusion during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015 50

Figure 24: Percentage of women giving birth by caesarean section and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 50

Figure 25: Percentage of women giving birth vaginally and undergoing blood   
transfusion during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015 53

Figure 26: Percentage of women giving birth vaginally and undergoing blood   
transfusion during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 53

Figure 27: Percentage of women identified as smokers during postnatal period   
(2 weeks after birth), by DHB of residence, 2015 65

Figure 28: Percentage of women identified as smokers during postnatal period   
(2 weeks after birth), by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 65

Figure 29: Percentage of women giving birth with BMI over 35 at registration,   
by DHB of residence, 2015 69

Figure 30: Percentage of women giving birth with BMI over 35 at registration,   
by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 69

Figure 31: Percentage of preterm births, by DHB of residence, 2015 73

Figure 32: Percentage of preterm births, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 73

Figure 33: Percentage of small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation), by DHB of residence, 2015 78

Figure 34: Percentage 0f small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation), by   
facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 78

Figure 35: Percentage of small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation,   
by DHB of residence, 2015 81

Figure 36: Percentage of small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation,   
by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 81

Figure 37: Percentage of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, by DHB of residence, 2015 85

Figure 38: Percentage of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015 85

Figure A1: Maternity facilities in New Zealand by DHB and facility type (2009–2015) 100

# Executive summary

The New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators provide information on a series of maternity outcomes which relate to an optimal health outcome. For this report, as with previous reports in this series, the ‘standard primipara’definition is used to identify a group of women who are considered to be ‘low risk’, for whom rates of intervention and outcomes should be similar between units and regions. Of the 21 indicators covered in this report:

* one applies to women who registered with a lead maternity carer (LMC)
* eight apply to standard primiparae
* eight apply to all women giving birth in New Zealand
* four apply to all babies born in New Zealand.

This is the seventh report in the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators series. It presents data on women giving birth, and babies born in the 2015 calendar year.

From 2009 to 2015, there was:

* an increase in the proportion of women who registered with an LMC in the first trimester of pregnancy but variation between regions persists
* a decrease in the proportion of standard primiparae who had a spontaneous vaginal birth, and continued variation between regions
* an increase in the proportion of standard primiparae who had an instrumental birth or a caesarean section
* an increase in the proportion of standard primiparae who had an induction of labour
* a decrease in the proportion of standard primiparae who had an intact perineum and an increase in the proportion who had an episiotomy and/or a third- or fourth-degree tear, and continued variation between regions
* a decrease in the proportion of women who required a blood transfusion with a caesarean section, and an increase for women who required a blood transfusion with a vaginal birth
* a decrease in the proportion of women who smoked during the postnatal period
* an increase in the proportion of women with body mass index (BMI) of over 35 at registration
* a decrease in the proportion of term (37–42 weeks’ gestation) babies who were born small
* a decrease in the proportion of small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation) who were born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation
* an increase in the proportion of babies born at term who required respiratory support.

As the six previous reports demonstrated, reported interventions and outcomes for women and babies vary between district health boards (DHBs) and between individual secondary and tertiary facilities. These findings merit further investigation of data quality and integrity as well as variations in local clinical practice management.

Since 2012, DHBs and maternity stakeholders have used national benchmarked data in their local maternity quality and safety programmes to identify areas warranting further investigation at a local level. Using the data in this report, DHBs and local maternity stakeholders can expand the scope of their investigations and view trends over a seven-year period.

# Introduction

## What is a clinical indicator?

A clinical indicator is a measure of the clinical management and outcome of health care received by an individual. For each clinical indicator, there should be evidence that confirms the underlying causal relationship between a particular process or intervention and a health outcome (WHA 2007). Clinical indicators can enable the quality of care and services to be measured and compared, by describing a performance or health outcome that should occur, and then evaluating whether it has occurred, in a standardised format that enables comparison between services or sites (Mainz 2003).

## What are the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators?

The New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators show key maternity outcomes for each DHB region and maternity facility.

The purpose of the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators is to:

* highlight areas where quality and safety could be improved at a national level
* support quality improvement by helping DHBs to identify focus areas for local clinical review of maternity services
* provide a broader picture of maternity outcomes in New Zealand than that obtainable from maternal and perinatal mortality data alone
* provide standardised (benchmarked) data allowing DHBs to evaluate their maternity services over time and against the national average
* improve national consistency and quality in maternity data reporting.

The New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators are evidence-based and cover a range of procedures and outcomes for mothers and their babies. Where possible, the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators are aligned with international maternity indicators to enable international comparison.

The Ministry of Health develops and publishes the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators with support from the National Maternity Monitoring Group and the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators Expert Working Group.

It is an expectation of the New Zealand Maternity Standards that the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators are reviewed every three years.

## Background

In 2010 the Minister of Health directed the Ministry of Health to develop a national quality and safety programme for maternity services, encompassing standards and clinical indicators.

The New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators are the result of collaboration between the Ministry of Health and maternity stakeholders representing consumer, midwifery, obstetric, general practice, paediatric and anaesthetic perspectives. In 2011 an expert working group established a set of 12 maternity clinical indicators that could be measured using the available data collections at that time.

Since then, data collections and data quality have improved. In 2013, the National Maternity Monitoring Group reviewed the original indicator set and recommended a range of changes to improve the quality, completeness and scope of the Maternity Clinical Indicators. The original expert working group further reviewed and developed these proposed changes to ensure the objectives of the Maternity Clinical Indicators were retained.

The changes were implemented in two phases:

* improving the quality and completeness of the original 12 indicators and introducing three new indicators in *New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators 2012*
* expanding the methodology to count outcomes for women giving birth outside a maternity facility more accurately and introducing six new indicators in *New Zealand Clinical Indicators 2013.*

This report, *New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators 2015*, presents data on the 21 indicators included in the 2013 and 2014 reports. The report covers births in the 2015 calendar year.

In early 2015, the Minister of Health committed to the continuation of the Maternity Quality Initiative, under which the Ministry of Health has committed to continued annual publication of clinical indicators. The next review of the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators will occur prior to the development of the report on 2016 data.

## Overview

This report presents the third year of reporting on the revised indicators, and the seventh edition in the *New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators* series (see Table 1 for a list of indicators presented in this publication). The 21 indicators presented in this report are the same as those presented in the 2013 and 2014 reports, with no changes to the criteria and methods used. They were developed by the Ministry of Health in partnership with the New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators Expert Working Group.

Table : New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicators

| **Population** | **Indicator** | | **Numerator** | **Denominator** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Women registered with an LMC | 1 | Registration with an LMC in the first trimester of pregnancy | Total number of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy | Total number of women who register with an LMC |
| Standard primiparae | 2 | Standard primiparae who have a spontaneous vaginal birth | Total number of standard primiparae who have a spontaneous vaginal birth at a maternity facility | Total number of standard primiparae |
| 3 | Standard primiparae who undergo an instrumental vaginal birth | Total number of standard primiparae who undergo an instrumental vaginal birth | Total number of standard primiparae |
| 4 | Standard primiparae who undergo caesarean section | Total number of standard primiparae who undergo caesarean section | Total number of standard primiparae |
| 5 | Standard primiparae who undergo induction of labour | Total number of standard primiparae who undergo induction of labour | Total number of standard primiparae |
| 6 | Standard primiparae with an intact lower genital tract (no 1st- to 4th-degree tear or episiotomy) | Total number of standard primiparae with an intact lower genital tract with vaginal birth | Total number of standard primiparae who give birth vaginally |
| 7 | Standard primiparae undergoing episiotomy and no 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear | Total number of standard primiparae undergoing episiotomy and no 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear with vaginal birth | Total number of standard primiparae who give birth vaginally |
| 8 | Standard primiparae sustaining a 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear and no episiotomy | Total number of standard primiparae sustaining a 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear and no episiotomy with vaginal birth | Total number of standard primiparae who give birth vaginally |
| 9 | Standard primiparae undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear | Total number of standard primiparae undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear with vaginal birth | Total number of standard primiparae who give birth vaginally |
| Women giving birth | 10 | Women having a general anaesthetic for caesarean section | Total number of women having a general anaesthetic for caesarean section | Total number of women who undergo caesarean section |
| 11 | Women requiring a blood transfusion with caesarean section | Total number of women requiring a blood transfusion with caesarean section | Total number of women who undergo caesarean section |
| 12 | Women requiring a blood transfusion with vaginal birth | Total number of women requiring a blood transfusion with vaginal birth | Total number of women who give birth vaginally |
| 13 | Diagnosis of eclampsia at birth admission | Total number of women diagnosed with eclampsia during birth admission | Total number of women giving birth |
| 14 | Women having a peripartum hysterectomy | Total number of women having an abdominal hysterectomy within 6 weeks after birth | Total number of women giving birth |
| Women giving birth | 15 | Women admitted to ICU and requiring ventilation during the pregnancy or postnatal period | Total number of women admitted to ICU and requiring over 24 hours of mechanical ventilation during admission any time during the pregnancy or postnatal period | Total number of women giving birth |
| 16 | Maternal tobacco use during postnatal period | Total number of women identified as smokers at 2 weeks after birth | Total number of women with smoking status at 2 weeks after birth reported |
| 17 | Women with BMI over 35 | Total number of women with BMI over 35 | Total number of women with BMI recorded |
| Live-born babies | 18 | Preterm birth | Total number of babies born under 37 weeks’ gestation | Total number of babies born (live births) |
| 19 | Small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation) | Total number of babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation | Total number of babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation |
| 20 | Small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation | Total number of babies born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation | Total number of babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation |
| 21 | Babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support | Total number of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring over 4 hours of respiratory support | Total number of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation |

A set of online tables was produced to accompany this report and is available from the Ministry of Health’s webpage ([www.health.govt.nz/publication/new-zealand-maternity-clinical-indicators-](http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/new-zealand-maternity-clinical-indicators-2014)2015). These tables present numbers and rates by:

* indicator, ethnic group and DHB of residence, 2009–2015
* indicator and facility of birth (primary, secondary and tertiary), 2009–2015
* gestation in weeks for indicator 19, 2009–2015.

Maps showing rates for each indicator by DHB of residence will be available on the Health Quality & Safety Commission’s Atlas of Healthcare Variation ([www.hqsc.govt.nz/atlas](http://www.hqsc.govt.nz/atlas)). The Atlas displays easy-to-use maps, graphs, tables and commentaries that highlight variations by geographic area in the provision and use of specific health services and health outcomes.

## About the data

Data for these indicators was extracted from all pregnancies and live-born babies recorded on the National Maternity Collection (MAT) on 16 September 2016. Additional hospital event data for each pregnancy and live-born baby recorded on MAT was extracted from the National Minimum Dataset (NMDS) to supplement the data set.

Records of babies born at a gestational age of less than 20 weeks and the corresponding records for their mothers have been excluded from this analysis. All efforts have been made to ensure that the data presented does not include duplicate events. Women giving birth at home are counted as having a spontaneous vaginal birth without an episiotomy.

Standard primiparae were identified using maternal age, gestational age and parity sourced from MAT, and clinical codes sourced from the current birth event, from antenatal events corresponding to the pregnancy, and from a search of historical maternity events held in the NMDS. See ‘Appendix 2: Technical notes’ for more detail on definitions and code ranges.

The data presented in this report primarily pertains to women recorded as having given birth and babies live-born in 2015 from MAT. Data from births occurring from 2009 to 2015 has been reextracted using the same methods and criteria to provide an up-to-date time-series view.

As the definitions and data sources used in this report have been revised and may differ from previously published reports in this series, the data presented in this edition should not be compared to previous reports. See the accompanying spreadsheets for time-series analysis.

## Data integrity

This report has been compiled from data supplied by DHBs and LMCs. District health boards and facilities are individually responsible for ensuring the completeness and quality of data they supply to national collections. Lead maternity carers are contractually responsible for ensuring the accuracy of data they supply on claims for payment. Data quality management has been applied at several points in the collection, extraction and reporting of the data presented here. However, errors can occur. Contact the Ministry of Health if you have concerns regarding any of the data or analyses presented here.

## Interpretation notes

Data is presented in this report in two ways:

* by DHB of residence: this data is intended to provide DHBs with information relevant to their usually resident population
* by place of birth: this data is intended to allow monitoring of trends over time at the facility level. Data for births in secondary and tertiary facilities is presented graphically in the body of this document, and data for births in primary and private facilities and home births is presented in the accompanying online tables.

### Numbers and rates

Rates are presented as raw percentages. Rates have not been standardised by age or ethnicity; denominators are chosen togroup women into clinically similar cohorts that would be expected to experience similar birth outcomes (eg, standard primiparae).

Differences in rates by ethnicity or socioeconomic group could be an area of focus for analysis at the DHB level. Some rates are based on small numbers of events and should therefore be treated with caution.

### Figures

Graphs showing rates by DHB of residence and secondary/tertiary facility of birth are presented for each indicator, except indicators 13–15 due to very small numbers. The median, as well as the 25th and 75th percentiles, are displayed on the graphs to help compare rates between DHBs and facilities. The following diagram explains some components of the graphs presented in this report.

Diagram for interpreting graphs

# Notes on national data

This section highlights how clinical indicator rates at a national level have changed from 2009 to 2015. See Table 2 for a summary of results, and

Figure 2 for a graph showing rates for each indicator from 2009 to 2015. This figure is also available by DHB and by secondary or tertiary facility in the accompanying online tables. The following analysis is presented by the population considered.

### Standard primiparae

A ‘standard primipara’ is a woman expected to have an uncomplicated pregnancy; intervention and complication rates for such women should be low and consistent across hospitals and DHBs. Comparing data about standard primiparae (rather than all women giving birth) controls for differences in case mix and increases the validity of inter-hospital comparisons of maternity care (adapted from Australian Council on Healthcare Standards 2008, p 29).

Approximately 15% of women giving birth in New Zealand are considered to be standard primiparae in this publication. These women are a sub-set of the general maternity population and so are not representative of birthing women in New Zealand.

Standard primiparae in this publication are women aged 20–34 years old at the time of giving birth who are giving birth for the first time (parity = 0)[[1]](#footnote-1) at term (37–41 weeks’ gestation) where the outcome of the birth is a singleton baby, the presentation is cephalic and there have been no recorded obstetric complications that are indications for specific obstetric interventions.

Standard primiparae as a proportion of women giving birth varied across DHBs in 2015, ranging from 12.6% (Northland DHB) to 17.2% (Auckland DHB). The highest proportion (26.9%) of standard primiparous women were aged between 20 and 24 years old. A higher proportion of standard primiparous women identified as Asian (22.3% each of Indian and other Asian); 10.9% identified as Māori and 12.3% as Pasifika. About 12.6% of women giving birth at home were standard primiparae, while 15% of women who gave birth at a maternity facility were standard primiparae (Figure 1).


From 2009 to 2015, there was a statistically significant increase in the proportion of standard primiparae who had:

* an instrumental vaginal birth (indicator 3)
* a caesarean section (indicator 4)
* an induction of labour (indicator 5)
* an episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree perineal tear (indicator 7)
* a third- or fourth-degree tear and no episiotomy (indicator 8)
* an episiotomy and a third- or fourth-degree tear (indicator 9).

Conversely, there was a significant decrease in the proportion of standard primipare who had:

* a spontaneous vaginal birth (indicator 2)
* an intact lower genital tract (indicator 6).

Figure : Number of standard primiparae as a proportion of women giving birth for the first time and of all women giving birth in 2015, by place of birth, age group, ethnic group, deprivation quintile and DHB of residence



Note: The number by each bar is the proportion of women who were standard primiparae.

### Women registered with an LMC

The vast majority of women giving birth in New Zealand first register with an LMC for their primary maternity care. This has increased from 82% of women giving birth in 2009 to 92% of women giving birth in 2015.

Women are also registering earlier with an LMC, with a statistically significant increase in women registering within the first trimester of pregnancy (<13 weeks) from 2009 to 2015.

### All women giving birth

Among all women giving birth in 2015, there was a statistically significant increase from 2009 to 2015 in the proportion of women:

* requiring a blood transfusion with a vaginal birth (indicator 12)
* with BMI over 35 (indicator 17).

In contrast, there was a significant decrease from 2009 to 2015 in the proportion of women:

* requiring a blood transfusion with a caesarean section (indicator 11)
* who smoked during the postnatal period (indicator 16).

### Babies

From 2009 to 2015, there was a significant decrease in the proportion of:

* term (37–42 weeks’ gestation) babies who were born small
* small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation) who were born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation.

The proportion of term babies requiring respiratory support increased significantly from 2009 to 2015.

### International comparisons

International comparisons are often problematic, due to differing methodology, definitions and availability of national data. When compared to Australia, New Zealand appears to have markedly lower rates of obstetric intervention, including among low risk women, although definitions of low risk differ between the two countries. Other indicators among the total birthing population, including rates of general anaesthetic for caesarean section (indicator 10) and maternal tobacco use (indicator 16), appear similar to Australian counterparts.

Table : New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicator national rates by year, 2009–2015

| **Indicator** | | **2009** | **2010** | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** | **From 2009  to 2015 (p-value)1** | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Women registered with an LMC** | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Registration with an LMC in the first trimester of pregnancy (%) | 57.3 | 59.5 | 62.5 | 63.8 | 65.3 | 67.7 | 70.0 | **** | (<0.001) |
| **Standard primiparae** | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Standard primiparae who have a spontaneous vaginal birth (%) | 69.6 | 70.0 | 70.1 | 69.9 | 67.7 | 68.9 | 68.7 | **** | (0.005) |
| 3 | Standard primiparae who undergo an instrumental vaginal birth (%) | 14.8 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 16.3 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 4 | Standard primiparae who undergo caesarean section (%) | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 16.5 | 15.6 | 14.9 | **** | (0.03) |
| 5 | Standard primiparae who undergo induction of labour (%) | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.7 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 6 | Standard primiparae with an intact lower genital tract (no 1st- to 4th-degree tear or episiotomy) (%) | 34.6 | 33.4 | 32.3 | 30.4 | 28.9 | 27.7 | 28.3 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 7 | Standard primiparae undergoing episiotomy and no 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear (%) | 19.6 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.7 | 21.0 | 22.7 | 22.2 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 8 | Standard primiparae sustaining a 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear and no episiotomy (%) | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.4 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 9 | Standard primiparae undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a 3rd- or 4th-degree perineal tear (%) | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | **** | (0.01) |
| **Women giving birth** | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Women having a general anaesthetic for caesarean section (%) | 9.0 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.8 | **–** | (0.09) |
| 11 | Women requiring a blood transfusion with caesarean section (%) | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.9 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 12 | Women requiring a blood transfusion with vaginal birth (%) | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 13 | Women with eclampsia at birth admission (numerator)2 | 27 | 22 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 18 | 26 |  | N/A |
| 14 | Women having a peripartum hysterectomy (numerator)2 | 51 | 29 | 39 | 49 | 21 | 37 | 30 |  | N/A |
| 15 | Women admitted to ICU and requiring ventilation during the pregnancy or postnatal period (numerator)2 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 16 |  | N/A |
| 16 | Maternal tobacco use during postnatal period (%) | 13.6 | 14.3 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 12.0 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 17 | Women with BMI over 35 (%) | 7.3 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.3 | **** | (<0.001) |
| **Babies** | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Preterm birth (%) | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.3 | **–** | (0.78) |
| 19 | Small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation) (%) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 20 | Small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation (%) | 45.2 | 45.5 | 43.4 | 41.4 | 36.9 | 39.2 | 38.4 | **** | (<0.001) |
| 21 | Babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | **** | (<0.001) |

1 Shows whether there was a statistically significant increase (****), or decrease (****), or no statistically significant change (–) in rates from 2009 to 2015. Statistical significance was derived using a chi-squared test for trend in proportions, at a 95% significance level for all indicators, except indicators 13–15 due to small numbers.

2 Rates are not presented due to small numbers for these indicators. The numbers presented are the numerator values each year for the indicator.

Figure : New Zealand Maternity Clinical Indicator rates by year, 2009–2015



Note: Indicators 13–15 (showing severe maternal morbidity) are not presented as graphs due to very small numbers (see Table 2).

# Indicator 1: Registration with an LMC

## Rationale and purpose

The Perinatal and Maternal Mortality Review Committee (2012), the National Maternity Monitoring Group (2013), and the Health Committee Inquiry into improving child health outcomes and preventing child abuse with a focus on preconception to three years of age (2013) all recommend early engagement with maternity care. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2008) recommends that antenatal care be started in the first trimester and ideally by 10 weeks’ gestation.

Early engagement with an LMC enables opportunities for screening, education and referral, and begins the primary maternity continuity of care relationship between a woman and her LMC. The National Maternity Monitoring Group recommended in their 2013 annual report that DHBs develop new ways to improve access to LMC services in the first trimester, and profiled a range of activities under way in DHBs.


This indicator monitors the number of women who registered with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy, out of all women who gave birth and had an LMC providing their primary maternity care.[[2]](#footnote-2) This indicator supports national and local monitoring of the effectiveness of activities to improve timely registration with an LMC.

## Notes on 2015 data

Rates of registration with an LMC in the first trimester varied between DHBs and between secondary and tertiary facility of birth; rates by DHB of residence ranged from 52.9% to 80.9%, and rates by facility of birth ranged from 45.9% to 84.1%. New initiatives in this area, such as the introduction of the Find Your Midwife website ([www.findyourmidwife.co.nz](http://www.findyourmidwife.co.nz/)) in 2013, are expected to increase the rate of women engaging with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy.


## Indicator 1: Registration with an LMC in the first trimester of pregnancy, 2015

Figure : Percentage of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy among all registered women giving birth, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy among all registered women giving birth, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary or tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy among all registered women, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Registered within the first trimester of pregnancy** | **All registered women** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 1,282 | 2,017 | 63.6 |
| Waitemata | 5,220 | 7,181 | 72.7 |
| Auckland | 3,225 | 4,688 | 68.8 |
| Counties Manukau | 3,409 | 6,440 | 52.9 |
| Waikato | 3,851 | 5,141 | 74.9 |
| Lakes | 860 | 1,494 | 57.6 |
| Bay of Plenty | 2,034 | 2,776 | 73.3 |
| Tairāwhiti | 439 | 735 | 59.7 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 1,327 | 1,862 | 71.3 |
| Taranaki | 1,187 | 1,508 | 78.7 |
| MidCentral | 1,491 | 2,032 | 73.4 |
| Whanganui | 541 | 772 | 70.1 |
| Capital & Coast | 2,453 | 3,363 | 72.9 |
| Hutt Valley | 1,230 | 1,866 | 65.9 |
| Wairarapa | 338 | 461 | 73.3 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 999 | 1,235 | 80.9 |
| West Coast | 186 | 345 | 53.9 |
| Canterbury | 4,771 | 6,197 | 77.0 |
| South Canterbury | 463 | 657 | 70.5 |
| Southern | 2,621 | 3,401 | 77.1 |
| Unknown | 141 | 244 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **38,068** | **54,415** | **70.0** |

Table : Number and percentage of women who register with an LMC in the first trimester of their pregnancy among all registered women, by facility of birth, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Registered within the first trimester of pregnancy** | **All registered women** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 881 | 1,375 | 64.1 |
| North Shore | 2,696 | 3,605 | 74.8 |
| Waitakere | 1,846 | 2,808 | 65.7 |
| Auckland City | 4,080 | 5,482 | 74.4 |
| Middlemore | 2,174 | 4,651 | 46.7 |
| Waikato | 2,631 | 3,419 | 77.0 |
| Rotorua | 720 | 1,245 | 57.8 |
| Tauranga | 1,345 | 1,759 | 76.5 |
| Whakatane | 337 | 528 | 63.8 |
| Gisborne | 401 | 663 | 60.5 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 1,221 | 1,721 | 70.9 |
| Taranaki Base | 1,061 | 1,346 | 78.8 |
| Palmerston North | 1,333 | 1,768 | 75.4 |
| Whanganui | 459 | 658 | 69.8 |
| Wellington | 2,284 | 3,093 | 73.8 |
| Hutt | 1,138 | 1,775 | 64.1 |
| Wairarapa | 302 | 409 | 73.8 |
| Wairau | 311 | 370 | 84.1 |
| Nelson | 572 | 729 | 78.5 |
| Grey Base | 100 | 218 | 45.9 |
| Christchurch | 3,931 | 5,139 | 76.5 |
| Timaru | 416 | 589 | 70.6 |
| Dunedin | 1,350 | 1,679 | 80.4 |
| Southland | 886 | 1,183 | 74.9 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **32,475** | **46,212** | **70.3** |
| **All primary facilities** | **3,752** | **5,526** | **67.9** |
| **All home births** | **1,527** | **2,145** | **71.2** |
| **New Zealand1** | **38,068** | **54,415** | **70.0** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicators 2 to 5: Type of birth

## Rationale and purpose

Indicators 2 to 5 present data on types of birth among standard primiparae. They compare rates of spontaneous vaginal birth and rates of medical interventions in a low-risk population.[[3]](#footnote-3) Their purpose is to encourage maternity service providers to review the appropriateness of these interventions among low-risk women, with the aims of supporting normal birth, improving maternal experience of maternity care, reducing maternal and perinatal morbidity, and supporting value for money for the health system. The following sections describe the rationale and purpose of the specific indicators.

### Spontaneous vaginal birth (indicator 2)

This indicator measures the proportion of women having a spontaneous (non-instrumental) vaginal birth in a low-risk population. This measure includes births for which labour was augmented or induced. Maternity service providers should review, evaluate and make necessary changes to clinical practice aimed at supporting women to achieve a spontaneous vaginal birth, and may wish to consider further local measures that exclude other birth interventions.

### Instrumental vaginal birth (indicator 3)

This indicator measures the use of instrumental interventions, that is, vacuum (ventouse) and forceps. The use of instruments is associated with both short-term and long-term complications for the mother and the baby, some of which can be serious. Judicious use of instrumental birth is needed (AIHW 2013). If a maternity service provider’s rates of intervention are significantly higher than its peer group at a national level, it should examine the use of instrumental birth alongside other indicators that may be affected by instrumental birth, including maternal and perinatal morbidity.

### Caesarean section (indicator 4)

The purpose of this indicator is to encourage maternity service providers to evaluate whether caesarean sections were performed on the right women at the right place and at the right time, and to reduce the harm associated with potentially avoidable caesarean sections among low-risk women. Caesarean birth is safer now than in the past and serious complications are uncommon, particularly for healthy women, but a small risk of serious morbidity and mortality for both the mother and the baby remains, and a primary caesarean section can complicate a subsequent pregnancy (AIHW 2013). If a provider’s caesarean section rates are significantly different from their peer group at a national level, it should examine its use of caesarean sections among low-risk women.

### Induction of labour (indicator 5)

The purpose of this indicator is to benchmark rates of induction of labour in a low-risk population. Induction of labour is associated with risk of fetal distress, uterine hyper-stimulation and postpartum haemorrhage, and can be the start of a cascade of further medical interventions (AIHW 2013). Maternity service providers should use this indicator in further investigation of their policies and practices with respect to inducing labour in low-risk women. If a provider’s rates of induction of labour are significantly higher than its peer group at a national level, it should review the appropriateness of inductions in this group as well as examine the results of other indicators that can be affected by induction, such as caesarean section and postpartum haemorrhage.

## Notes on 2015 data


Rates of spontaneous vaginal birth among standard primiparae varied notably between DHBs and between secondary and tertiary facilities in 2014; DHB rates ranged from 58.6% to 81.1% and facility rates ranged from 52.9% to 86.4%. This variation merits further urgent investigation, as it represents significant variation in clinical practice among a clinically comparable cohort.

Rates of instrumental vaginal birth ranged from 4.1% to31.1% between facilities. Caesarean section rates also varied by facility, from 4.5% to 29.8%, and by DHB, from 8.6% to 29.3%. These variations indicate a need for urgent detailed review. District health boards not already reviewing caesarean sections among low-risk women should do so.

Standard primiparae are unlikely to have indications for induction of labour, so rates of induction for this group should be low. District health boards and facilities with rates significantly above the national median should investigate reasons for high induction rates.


## Indicator 2: Spontaneous vaginal birth among standard primiparae, 2015

Figure : Percentage of spontaneous vaginal births among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of spontaneous vaginal births among standard primiparae, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of spontaneous vaginal births among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Spontaneous vaginal births** | **Standard primiparae** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 236 | 291 | 81.1 |
| Waitemata | 860 | 1,248 | 68.9 |
| Auckland | 644 | 1,026 | 62.8 |
| Counties Manukau | 795 | 1,177 | 67.5 |
| Waikato | 561 | 782 | 71.7 |
| Lakes | 183 | 229 | 79.9 |
| Bay of Plenty | 307 | 423 | 72.6 |
| Tairāwhiti | 70 | 88 | 79.5 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 208 | 326 | 63.8 |
| Taranaki | 155 | 222 | 69.8 |
| MidCentral | 214 | 330 | 64.8 |
| Whanganui | 105 | 130 | 80.8 |
| Capital & Coast | 416 | 601 | 69.2 |
| Hutt Valley | 210 | 305 | 68.9 |
| Wairarapa | 43 | 66 | 65.2 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 147 | 227 | 64.8 |
| West Coast | 34 | 58 | 58.6 |
| Canterbury | 673 | 1,001 | 67.2 |
| South Canterbury | 76 | 106 | 71.7 |
| Southern | 408 | 593 | 68.8 |
| Unknown | 17 | 26 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **6,362** | **9,255** | **68.7** |


Table : Number and percentage of spontaneous vaginal births among standard primiparae, by place of birth, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Spontaneous vaginal births** | **Standard primiparae** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 149 | 200 | 74.5 |
| North Shore | 422 | 657 | 64.2 |
| Waitakere | 380 | 512 | 74.2 |
| Auckland City | 608 | 1,104 | 55.1 |
| Middlemore | 501 | 802 | 62.5 |
| Waikato | 256 | 470 | 54.5 |
| Rotorua | 136 | 180 | 75.6 |
| Tauranga | 168 | 276 | 60.9 |
| Whakatane | 57 | 66 | 86.4 |
| Gisborne | 65 | 83 | 78.3 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 188 | 309 | 60.8 |
| Taranaki Base | 130 | 194 | 67.0 |
| Palmerston North | 176 | 288 | 61.1 |
| Whanganui | 100 | 122 | 82.0 |
| Wellington | 350 | 544 | 64.3 |
| Hutt | 200 | 293 | 68.3 |
| Wairarapa | 42 | 64 | 65.6 |
| Wairau | 58 | 73 | 79.5 |
| Nelson | 72 | 136 | 52.9 |
| Grey Base | 25 | 47 | 53.2 |
| Christchurch | 409 | 742 | 55.1 |
| Timaru | 72 | 100 | 72.0 |
| Dunedin | 175 | 301 | 58.1 |
| Southland | 108 | 169 | 63.9 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **4,847** | **7,732** | **62.7** |
| **All primary facilities** | **1,210** | **1,218** | **99.3** |
| **All home births** | **305** | **305** | **100.0** |
| **New Zealand1** | **6,362** | **9,255** | **68.7** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.


## Indicator 3: Instrumental vaginal birth among standard primiparae, 2015

Figure : Percentage of instrumental vaginal births among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of instrumental vaginal births among standard primiparae, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of instrumental vaginal births among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Instrumental vaginal births** | **Standard primiparae** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 30 | 291 | 10.3 |
| Waitemata | 192 | 1,248 | 15.4 |
| Auckland | 210 | 1,026 | 20.5 |
| Counties Manukau | 193 | 1,177 | 16.4 |
| Waikato | 147 | 782 | 18.8 |
| Lakes | 15 | 229 | 6.6 |
| Bay of Plenty | 62 | 423 | 14.7 |
| Tairāwhiti | 6 | 88 | 6.8 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 61 | 326 | 18.7 |
| Taranaki | 33 | 222 | 14.9 |
| MidCentral | 57 | 330 | 17.3 |
| Whanganui | 7 | 130 | 5.4 |
| Capital & Coast | 105 | 601 | 17.5 |
| Hutt Valley | 37 | 305 | 12.1 |
| Wairarapa | 8 | 66 | 12.1 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 34 | 227 | 15.0 |
| West Coast | 7 | 58 | 12.1 |
| Canterbury | 203 | 1,001 | 20.3 |
| South Canterbury | 12 | 106 | 11.3 |
| Southern | 88 | 593 | 14.8 |
| Unknown | 5 | 26 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **1,512** | **9,255** | **16.3** |


Table : Number and percentage of instrumental vaginal births among standard primiparae, by place of birth, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Instrumental vaginal births** | **Standard primiparae** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 28 | 200 | 14.0 |
| North Shore | 126 | 657 | 19.2 |
| Waitakere | 54 | 512 | 10.5 |
| Auckland City | 271 | 1,104 | 24.5 |
| Middlemore | 148 | 802 | 18.5 |
| Waikato | 146 | 470 | 31.1 |
| Rotorua | 13 | 180 | 7.2 |
| Tauranga | 55 | 276 | 19.9 |
| Whakatane | 6 | 66 | 9.1 |
| Gisborne | 6 | 83 | 7.2 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 63 | 309 | 20.4 |
| Taranaki Base | 31 | 194 | 16.0 |
| Palmerston North | 53 | 288 | 18.4 |
| Whanganui | 7 | 122 | 5.7 |
| Wellington | 108 | 544 | 19.9 |
| Hutt | 36 | 293 | 12.3 |
| Wairarapa | 9 | 64 | 14.1 |
| Wairau | 3 | 73 | 4.1 |
| Nelson | 31 | 136 | 22.8 |
| Grey Base | 8 | 47 | 17.0 |
| Christchurch | 202 | 742 | 27.2 |
| Timaru | 11 | 100 | 11.0 |
| Dunedin | 63 | 301 | 20.9 |
| Southland | 27 | 169 | 16.0 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **1,505** | **7,732** | **19.5** |
| **All primary facilities** | **7** | **1,218** | **0.6** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **305** | **0.0** |
| **New Zealand1** | **1,512** | **9,255** | **16.3** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.


## Indicator 4: Caesarean section among standard primiparae, 2015

Figure : Percentage of caesarean section deliveries among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of caesarean section deliveries among standard primiparae, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of deliveries by caesarean section among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Caesarean sections** | **Standard primiparae** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 25 | 291 | 8.6 |
| Waitemata | 195 | 1,248 | 15.6 |
| Auckland | 171 | 1,026 | 16.7 |
| Counties Manukau | 189 | 1,177 | 16.1 |
| Waikato | 73 | 782 | 9.3 |
| Lakes | 31 | 229 | 13.5 |
| Bay of Plenty | 54 | 423 | 12.8 |
| Tairāwhiti | 12 | 88 | 13.6 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 57 | 326 | 17.5 |
| Taranaki | 33 | 222 | 14.9 |
| MidCentral | 59 | 330 | 17.9 |
| Whanganui | 18 | 130 | 13.8 |
| Capital & Coast | 80 | 601 | 13.3 |
| Hutt Valley | 58 | 305 | 19.0 |
| Wairarapa | 15 | 66 | 22.7 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 46 | 227 | 20.3 |
| West Coast | 17 | 58 | 29.3 |
| Canterbury | 125 | 1,001 | 12.5 |
| South Canterbury | 18 | 106 | 17.0 |
| Southern | 97 | 593 | 16.4 |
| Unknown | 4 | 26 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **1,377** | **9,255** | **14.9** |


Table : Number and percentage of deliveries by caesarean section among standard primiparae, by place of birth, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Caesarean sections** | **Standard primiparae** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 23 | 200 | 11.5 |
| North Shore | 109 | 657 | 16.6 |
| Waitakere | 77 | 512 | 15.0 |
| Auckland City | 225 | 1,104 | 20.4 |
| Middlemore | 153 | 802 | 19.1 |
| Waikato | 67 | 470 | 14.3 |
| Rotorua | 31 | 180 | 17.2 |
| Tauranga | 53 | 276 | 19.2 |
| Whakatane | 3 | 66 | 4.5 |
| Gisborne | 12 | 83 | 14.5 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 58 | 309 | 18.8 |
| Taranaki Base | 32 | 194 | 16.5 |
| Palmerston North | 59 | 288 | 20.5 |
| Whanganui | 15 | 122 | 12.3 |
| Wellington | 86 | 544 | 15.8 |
| Hutt | 57 | 293 | 19.5 |
| Wairarapa | 13 | 64 | 20.3 |
| Wairau | 12 | 73 | 16.4 |
| Nelson | 33 | 136 | 24.3 |
| Grey Base | 14 | 47 | 29.8 |
| Christchurch | 131 | 742 | 17.7 |
| Timaru | 17 | 100 | 17.0 |
| Dunedin | 63 | 301 | 20.9 |
| Southland | 34 | 169 | 20.1 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **1,377** | **7,732** | **17.8** |
| **All primary facilities** | **0** | **1,218** | **0.0** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **305** | **0.0** |
| **New Zealand1** | **1,377** | **9,255** | **14.9** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.


## Indicator 5: Induction of labour among standard primiparae, 2015

Figure : Percentage of inductions of labour among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of inductions of labour among standard primiparae, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of inductions of labour among standard primiparae, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Inductions of labour** | **Standard primiparae** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 11 | 291 | 3.8 |
| Waitemata | 61 | 1,248 | 4.9 |
| Auckland | 63 | 1,026 | 6.1 |
| Counties Manukau | 79 | 1,177 | 6.7 |
| Waikato | 34 | 782 | 4.3 |
| Lakes | 8 | 229 | 3.5 |
| Bay of Plenty | 31 | 423 | 7.3 |
| Tairāwhiti | 1 | 88 | 1.1 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 26 | 326 | 8.0 |
| Taranaki | 18 | 222 | 8.1 |
| MidCentral | 27 | 330 | 8.2 |
| Whanganui | 7 | 130 | 5.4 |
| Capital & Coast | 31 | 601 | 5.2 |
| Hutt Valley | 16 | 305 | 5.2 |
| Wairarapa | 8 | 66 | 12.1 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 13 | 227 | 5.7 |
| West Coast | 4 | 58 | 6.9 |
| Canterbury | 43 | 1,001 | 4.3 |
| South Canterbury | 10 | 106 | 9.4 |
| Southern | 29 | 593 | 4.9 |
| Unknown | 3 | 26 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **523** | **9,255** | **5.7** |

Table : Number and percentage of inductions of labour among standard primiparae, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Inductions of labour** | **Standard primiparae** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 11 | 200 | 5.5 |
| North Shore | 27 | 657 | 4.1 |
| Waitakere | 31 | 512 | 6.1 |
| Auckland City | 93 | 1,104 | 8.4 |
| Middlemore | 52 | 802 | 6.5 |
| Waikato | 34 | 470 | 7.2 |
| Rotorua | 8 | 180 | 4.4 |
| Tauranga | 20 | 276 | 7.2 |
| Whakatane | 2 | 66 | 3.0 |
| Gisborne | 1 | 83 | 1.2 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 25 | 309 | 8.1 |
| Taranaki Base | 19 | 194 | 9.8 |
| Palmerston North | 26 | 288 | 9.0 |
| Whanganui | 4 | 122 | 3.3 |
| Wellington | 37 | 544 | 6.8 |
| Hutt | 16 | 293 | 5.5 |
| Wairarapa | 6 | 64 | 9.4 |
| Wairau | 6 | 73 | 8.2 |
| Nelson | 7 | 136 | 5.1 |
| Grey Base | 4 | 47 | 8.5 |
| Christchurch | 43 | 742 | 5.8 |
| Timaru | 9 | 100 | 9.0 |
| Dunedin | 20 | 301 | 6.6 |
| Southland | 11 | 169 | 6.5 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **512** | **7,732** | **6.6** |
| **All primary facilities** | **11** | **1,218** | **0.9** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **305** | **0.0** |
| **New Zealand1** | **523** | **9,255** | **5.7** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicators 6 to 9: Damage to the lower genital tract

## Rationale and purpose

Indicators 6 to 9 cover the degree of damage to the lower genital tract from vaginal birth among standard primiparae. Perineal trauma remains one of the most common complications of childbirth, and is thought to affect between 60% and 85% of women who give birth vaginally (WHA 2007). Reasons for perineal trauma are varied, and may reflect either maternal or neonatal issues. Perineal damage can cause women pain and longer-term morbidity. The aim of these indicators is to reduce such trauma and its associated maternal morbidity. This may improve maternal satisfaction and mother−infant bonding by reducing maternal pain and discomfort. The following sections describe the rationale and purpose of the specific indicators.

### Intact lower genital tract (indicator 6)

The four categories of perineal tear classification enable a standardised description of perineal damage. Assessing and identifying degrees of perineal damage remains a complex process. A classification of first- or second-degree does not necessarily reflect the level of pain or long-term morbidity a woman experiences. This indicator provides a concise measure of all perineal trauma, and is intended to encourage further investigation to determine how maternity service providers can improve rates of intact lower genital tract.

### Episiotomy (indicator 7)

This indicator aims to encourage further investigation among maternity service providers to ensure that they assess risks to the mother and infant appropriately before undertaking an episiotomy. Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials confirms that judicious use of episiotomy is better practice than routine use of episiotomy (AIHW 2013). If a provider’s rates of episiotomy, particularly among low-risk women, are significantly higher than its peer group at a national level, it should examine these results. Providers should also consider their rates alongside other indicators that can be affected by episiotomies, such as bleeding, infection and maternal morbidity rates, to ascertain whether there is any correlation.

### Third- and fourth-degree tears (with and without episiotomy) (indicators 8 and 9)

The aim of these indicators is to encourage maternity service providers to consider the rate of tears in conjunction with episiotomy rates, and to undertake further investigation of labour management if rates are significantly different from their peer group at a national level. Labour management may include birth position, the use of induction, instrumental delivery and management of second-stage labour.

## Notes on 2015 data


Rates of intact lower genital tract after vaginal birth among standard primiparae ranged from 14.8% to 52.6% across DHBs, and from 6.8% to 55.6% across secondary and tertiary facilities. This regional variation suggests that investigation of both data integrity and local clinical practice is required. Rates of intact lower genital tract appear to have decreased over time since 2009. Further investigation of the causes of this is required, including review of coding practices, particularly given there has been no statistically significant increase in the rates of instrumental birth among the same population over this time.

Rates of episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear also varied, from 0% to 31.1% across DHBs, and from 0% to 39.1% across secondary and tertiary facilities. Facilities and DHB’s with rates significantly above the median should investigate the reasons for these differences, which could include review of the clinical indications given in specific cases, education and policy review as well as identifying the discipline and number of practitioners performing episiotomies.

All DHBs should undertake more detailed local analysis of the relationship between rates of intact perineum, episiotomies and third- and fourth-degree tears.


## Indicator 6: Intact lower genital tract among standard primiparae giving birth vaginally, 2015

Figure : Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally with intact lower genital tract, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally with intact lower genital tract, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally with intact lower genital tract, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Intact lower genital tract** | **Standard primiparae giving birth vaginally** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 121 | 266 | 45.5 |
| Waitemata | 196 | 1,053 | 18.6 |
| Auckland | 148 | 855 | 17.3 |
| Counties Manukau | 146 | 988 | 14.8 |
| Waikato | 332 | 709 | 46.8 |
| Lakes | 97 | 198 | 49.0 |
| Bay of Plenty | 152 | 369 | 41.2 |
| Tairāwhiti | 40 | 76 | 52.6 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 90 | 269 | 33.5 |
| Taranaki | 64 | 189 | 33.9 |
| MidCentral | 97 | 271 | 35.8 |
| Whanganui | 56 | 112 | 50.0 |
| Capital & Coast | 93 | 521 | 17.9 |
| Hutt Valley | 63 | 247 | 25.5 |
| Wairarapa | 19 | 51 | 37.3 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 42 | 181 | 23.2 |
| West Coast | 12 | 41 | 29.3 |
| Canterbury | 263 | 876 | 30.0 |
| South Canterbury | 38 | 88 | 43.2 |
| Southern | 146 | 496 | 29.4 |
| Unknown | 12 | 22 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **2,227** | **7,878** | **28.3** |

Table : Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally with intact lower genital tract, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015


|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Intact lower genital tract** | **Standard primiparae giving birth vaginally** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 61 | 177 | 34.5 |
| North Shore | 62 | 548 | 11.3 |
| Waitakere | 76 | 435 | 17.5 |
| Auckland City | 60 | 879 | 6.8 |
| Middlemore | 71 | 649 | 10.9 |
| Waikato | 106 | 403 | 26.3 |
| Rotorua | 69 | 149 | 46.3 |
| Tauranga | 43 | 223 | 19.3 |
| Whakatane | 35 | 63 | 55.6 |
| Gisborne | 34 | 71 | 47.9 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 75 | 251 | 29.9 |
| Taranaki Base | 48 | 162 | 29.6 |
| Palmerston North | 66 | 229 | 28.8 |
| Whanganui | 54 | 107 | 50.5 |
| Wellington | 61 | 458 | 13.3 |
| Hutt | 61 | 236 | 25.8 |
| Wairarapa | 16 | 51 | 31.4 |
| Wairau | 9 | 61 | 14.8 |
| Nelson | 16 | 103 | 15.5 |
| Grey Base | 8 | 33 | 24.2 |
| Christchurch | 120 | 611 | 19.6 |
| Timaru | 34 | 83 | 41.0 |
| Dunedin | 53 | 238 | 22.3 |
| Southland | 24 | 135 | 17.8 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **1,262** | **6,355** | **19.9** |
| **All primary facilities** | **705** | **1,218** | **57.9** |
| **All home births**1 | **260** | **305** | **85.2** |
| **New Zealand2** | **2,227** | **7,878** | **28.3** |

1 The numerator is derived by subtracting the number of women who were admitted to a maternity facility with a diagnosis of perineal tear within three days of giving birth from the total number of women who gave birth at home. Women who received care for perineal trauma from non-maternity facilities may be included in the numerator. Therefore, the presented rate may be higher than the true rate.

2 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.


## Indicator 7: Episiotomy and no third- or fourth-degree tear among standard primiparae giving birth vaginally, 2015

Figure : Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally and undergoing episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally and undergoing episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally and undergoing episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Episiotomy without 3rd- or 4th-degree tear** | **Standard primiparae giving birth vaginally** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 29 | 266 | 10.9 |
| Waitemata | 277 | 1,053 | 26.3 |
| Auckland | 266 | 855 | 31.1 |
| Counties Manukau | 281 | 988 | 28.4 |
| Waikato | 96 | 709 | 13.5 |
| Lakes | 23 | 198 | 11.6 |
| Bay of Plenty | 73 | 369 | 19.8 |
| Tairāwhiti | 0 | 76 | 0.0 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 53 | 269 | 19.7 |
| Taranaki | 33 | 189 | 17.5 |
| MidCentral | 58 | 271 | 21.4 |
| Whanganui | 7 | 112 | 6.3 |
| Capital & Coast | 160 | 521 | 30.7 |
| Hutt Valley | 48 | 247 | 19.4 |
| Wairarapa | 9 | 51 | 17.6 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 33 | 181 | 18.2 |
| West Coast | 8 | 41 | 19.5 |
| Canterbury | 197 | 876 | 22.5 |
| South Canterbury | 13 | 88 | 14.8 |
| Southern | 85 | 496 | 17.1 |
| Unknown | 3 | 22 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **1,752** | **7,878** | **22.2** |

Table : Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally and undergoing episiotomy without third- or fourth-degree tear, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015


|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Episiotomy without 3rd- or 4th-degree tear** | **Standard primiparae giving birth vaginally** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 27 | 177 | 15.3 |
| North Shore | 165 | 548 | 30.1 |
| Waitakere | 110 | 435 | 25.3 |
| Auckland City | 344 | 879 | 39.1 |
| Middlemore | 199 | 649 | 30.7 |
| Waikato | 95 | 403 | 23.6 |
| Rotorua | 18 | 149 | 12.1 |
| Tauranga | 67 | 223 | 30.0 |
| Whakatane | 6 | 63 | 9.5 |
| Gisborne | 0 | 71 | 0.0 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 53 | 251 | 21.1 |
| Taranaki Base | 31 | 162 | 19.1 |
| Palmerston North | 58 | 229 | 25.3 |
| Whanganui | 5 | 107 | 4.7 |
| Wellington | 160 | 458 | 34.9 |
| Hutt | 47 | 236 | 19.9 |
| Wairarapa | 10 | 51 | 19.6 |
| Wairau | 5 | 61 | 8.2 |
| Nelson | 28 | 103 | 27.2 |
| Grey Base | 9 | 33 | 27.3 |
| Christchurch | 191 | 611 | 31.3 |
| Timaru | 13 | 83 | 15.7 |
| Dunedin | 62 | 238 | 26.1 |
| Southland | 22 | 135 | 16.3 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **1,725** | **6,355** | **27.1** |
| **All primary facilities** | **27** | **1,218** | **2.2** |
| **All home births**1 | **0** | **305** | **0.0** |
| **New Zealand2** | **1,752** | **7,878** | **22.2** |

1 For the purposes of this indicator, all women giving birth at home are counted as having had a spontaneous vaginal birth without an episiotomy. The rate presented may not reflect the true rate due to this assumption.

2 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.


## Indicator 8: Third- or fourth-degree tear and no episiotomy among standard primiparae giving birth vaginally, 2015

Figure : Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear and not undergoing episiotomy, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear and not undergoing episiotomy, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear and not undergoing episiotomy, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **3rd- or 4th-degree tear without episiotomy** | **Standard primiparae giving birth vaginally** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 5 | 266 | 1.9 |
| Waitemata | 38 | 1,053 | 3.6 |
| Auckland | 38 | 855 | 4.4 |
| Counties Manukau | 43 | 988 | 4.4 |
| Waikato | 40 | 709 | 5.6 |
| Lakes | 8 | 198 | 4.0 |
| Bay of Plenty | 8 | 369 | 2.2 |
| Tairāwhiti | 6 | 76 | 7.9 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 10 | 269 | 3.7 |
| Taranaki | 6 | 189 | 3.2 |
| MidCentral | 15 | 271 | 5.5 |
| Whanganui | 0 | 112 | 0.0 |
| Capital & Coast | 22 | 521 | 4.2 |
| Hutt Valley | 15 | 247 | 6.1 |
| Wairarapa | 1 | 51 | 2.0 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 7 | 181 | 3.9 |
| West Coast | 2 | 41 | 4.9 |
| Canterbury | 53 | 876 | 6.1 |
| South Canterbury | 0 | 88 | 0.0 |
| Southern | 26 | 496 | 5.2 |
| Unknown | 1 | 22 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **344** | **7,878** | **4.4** |


Table : Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear and not undergoing episiotomy, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **3rd- or 4th-degree tear without episiotomy** | **Standard primiparae giving birth vaginally** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 3 | 177 | 1.7 |
| North Shore | 25 | 548 | 4.6 |
| Waitakere | 8 | 435 | 1.8 |
| Auckland City | 41 | 879 | 4.7 |
| Middlemore | 28 | 649 | 4.3 |
| Waikato | 27 | 403 | 6.7 |
| Rotorua | 7 | 149 | 4.7 |
| Tauranga | 3 | 223 | 1.3 |
| Whakatane | 1 | 63 | 1.6 |
| Gisborne | 6 | 71 | 8.5 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 10 | 251 | 4.0 |
| Taranaki Base | 6 | 162 | 3.7 |
| Palmerston North | 14 | 229 | 6.1 |
| Whanganui | 0 | 107 | 0.0 |
| Wellington | 20 | 458 | 4.4 |
| Hutt | 13 | 236 | 5.5 |
| Wairarapa | 1 | 51 | 2.0 |
| Wairau | 1 | 61 | 1.6 |
| Nelson | 6 | 103 | 5.8 |
| Grey Base | 1 | 33 | 3.0 |
| Christchurch | 33 | 611 | 5.4 |
| Timaru | 0 | 83 | 0.0 |
| Dunedin | 12 | 238 | 5.0 |
| Southland | 7 | 135 | 5.2 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **273** | **6,355** | **4.3** |
| **All primary facilities** | **60** | **1,218** | **4.9** |
| **All home births** | **11** | **305** | **3.6** |
| **New Zealand1** | **344** | **7,878** | **4.4** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.


## Indicator 9: Episiotomy and third- or fourth-degree tear among standard primiparae giving birth vaginally, 2015

Figure : Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Episiotomy with 3rd- or 4th-degree tear** | **Standard primiparae giving birth vaginally** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 3 | 266 | 1.1 |
| Waitemata | 11 | 1,053 | 1.0 |
| Auckland | 11 | 855 | 1.3 |
| Counties Manukau | 17 | 988 | 1.7 |
| Waikato | 12 | 709 | 1.7 |
| Lakes | 3 | 198 | 1.5 |
| Bay of Plenty | 5 | 369 | 1.4 |
| Tairāwhiti | 0 | 76 | 0.0 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 9 | 269 | 3.3 |
| Taranaki | 3 | 189 | 1.6 |
| MidCentral | 7 | 271 | 2.6 |
| Whanganui | 1 | 112 | 0.9 |
| Capital & Coast | 6 | 521 | 1.2 |
| Hutt Valley | 1 | 247 | 0.4 |
| Wairarapa | 1 | 51 | 2.0 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 0 | 181 | 0.0 |
| West Coast | 1 | 41 | 2.4 |
| Canterbury | 13 | 876 | 1.5 |
| South Canterbury | 0 | 88 | 0.0 |
| Southern | 13 | 496 | 2.6 |
| Unknown | 0 | 22 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **117** | **7,878** | **1.5** |

Table : Number and percentage of standard primiparae giving birth vaginally undergoing episiotomy and sustaining a third- or fourth-degree tear, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Episiotomy with 3rd- or 4th-degree tear** | **Standard primiparae giving birth vaginally** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 3 | 177 | 1.7 |
| North Shore | 8 | 548 | 1.5 |
| Waitakere | 3 | 435 | 0.7 |
| Auckland City | 15 | 879 | 1.7 |
| Middlemore | 12 | 649 | 1.8 |
| Waikato | 12 | 403 | 3.0 |
| Rotorua | 3 | 149 | 2.0 |
| Tauranga | 3 | 223 | 1.3 |
| Whakatane | 2 | 63 | 3.2 |
| Gisborne | 0 | 71 | 0.0 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 9 | 251 | 3.6 |
| Taranaki Base | 3 | 162 | 1.9 |
| Palmerston North | 7 | 229 | 3.1 |
| Whanganui | 0 | 107 | 0.0 |
| Wellington | 7 | 458 | 1.5 |
| Hutt | 1 | 236 | 0.4 |
| Wairarapa | 1 | 51 | 2.0 |
| Wairau | 0 | 61 | 0.0 |
| Nelson | 0 | 103 | 0.0 |
| Grey Base | 1 | 33 | 3.0 |
| Christchurch | 13 | 611 | 2.1 |
| Timaru | 0 | 83 | 0.0 |
| Dunedin | 7 | 238 | 2.9 |
| Southland | 6 | 135 | 4.4 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **116** | **6,355** | **1.8** |
| **All primary facilities** | **1** | **1,218** | **0.1** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **305** | **0.0** |
| **New Zealand1** | **117** | **7,878** | **1.5** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicator 10: General anaesthetic for women giving birth by caesarean section

## Rationale and purpose

Although the risks of general anaesthetic for caesarean section have reduced greatly in recent decades, regional anaesthetic is still safer than general anaesthetic because it results in less maternal and neonatal morbidity (Australian Council on Healthcare Standards 2008, p 474).

A proportion of caesarean sections will continue to be done under general anaesthetic because of factors such as patient preference, as well as in some high-risk cases (such as if a woman has pre-eclampsia) when only general anaesthetic can be used. General anaesthetic is more likely to be used when caesarean sections are done urgently; factors affecting this can include the configuration and organisation of obstetric and anaesthetic services (for example, whether a specialist anaesthetist is on site) and the level of antenatal care a woman has received.

The objective of this indicator is to encourage services that have higher-than-average rates of general anaesthetic for caesarean sections to undertake further investigation to determine the causes of these higher rates and evaluate whether they are justified.


## Notes on 2015 data

Rates of general anaesthetic use in caesarean section deliveries ranged from 5.6% to 13.5% across DHBs, and from 5.0%to 17.0% across secondary and tertiary facilities. These rates are based on small numbers, so caution must be used when making comparisons.

All maternity service providers who are outliers should review their rates of general anaesthetic for caesarean sections and consider the impact of the ratio between emergency and elective caesarean section rates. Providers should further investigate the reasons for higher rates of general anaesthetic for emergency caesarean sections to ensure this represents best possible quality of care for the woman and her baby.


## Indicator 10: General anaesthetic for women giving birth by caesarean section, 2015

Figure : Percentage of women undergoing a caesarean section under general anaesthetic, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of women undergoing a caesarean section under general anaesthetic, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of women undergoing a caesarean section under general anaesthetic, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Caesarean sections under general anaesthetic** | **All caesarean sections** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 40 | 319 | 12.5 |
| Waitemata | 169 | 2,161 | 7.8 |
| Auckland | 135 | 1,770 | 7.6 |
| Counties Manukau | 220 | 2,006 | 11.0 |
| Waikato | 96 | 980 | 9.8 |
| Lakes | 36 | 336 | 10.7 |
| Bay of Plenty | 61 | 649 | 9.4 |
| Tairāwhiti | 20 | 148 | 13.5 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 55 | 483 | 11.4 |
| Taranaki | 42 | 382 | 11.0 |
| MidCentral | 54 | 563 | 9.6 |
| Whanganui | 14 | 137 | 10.2 |
| Capital & Coast | 67 | 999 | 6.7 |
| Hutt Valley | 65 | 590 | 11.0 |
| Wairarapa | 10 | 155 | 6.5 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 23 | 408 | 5.6 |
| West Coast | 11 | 98 | 11.2 |
| Canterbury | 118 | 1,637 | 7.2 |
| South Canterbury | 13 | 153 | 8.5 |
| Southern | 64 | 870 | 7.4 |
| Unknown | 0 | 16 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **1,313** | **14,860** | **8.8** |

Table : Number and percentage of women undergoing a caesarean section under general anaesthetic, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Caesarean sections under general anaesthetic** | **All caesarean sections** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 32 | 281 | 11.4 |
| North Shore | 107 | 1,230 | 8.7 |
| Waitakere | 51 | 655 | 7.8 |
| Auckland City | 172 | 2,467 | 7.0 |
| Middlemore | 202 | 1,665 | 12.1 |
| Waikato | 100 | 958 | 10.4 |
| Rotorua | 34 | 331 | 10.3 |
| Tauranga | 45 | 550 | 8.2 |
| Whakatane | 17 | 100 | 17.0 |
| Gisborne | 16 | 144 | 11.1 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 56 | 471 | 11.9 |
| Taranaki Base | 40 | 376 | 10.6 |
| Palmerston North | 52 | 557 | 9.3 |
| Whanganui | 11 | 113 | 9.7 |
| Wellington | 81 | 1,091 | 7.4 |
| Hutt | 61 | 572 | 10.7 |
| Wairarapa | 7 | 136 | 5.1 |
| Wairau | 9 | 138 | 6.5 |
| Nelson | 13 | 258 | 5.0 |
| Grey Base | 8 | 76 | 10.5 |
| Christchurch | 124 | 1,666 | 7.4 |
| Timaru | 11 | 142 | 7.7 |
| Dunedin | 37 | 508 | 7.3 |
| Southland | 26 | 365 | 7.1 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **1,312** | **14,850** | **8.8** |
| **All primary facilities** | **1** | **7** | **14.3** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **0** | **0.0** |
| **New Zealand1** | **1,313** | **14,860** | **8.8** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicators 11 and 12: Blood transfusion during birth admission

## Rationale and purpose

According to the Australian Council on Healthcare Standards (2008), ‘postpartum haemorrhage (PPH) is a potentially life-threatening complication of birth that occurs in about 3−5% of vaginal births [and globally] remains a leading cause of maternal morbidity and mortality’ (p 480). Excessive blood loss is often defined as an amount in excess of 1000 mL, although accuracy of measurement at this level is questionable, especially as the blood loss is often cumulative. A different and (some suggest) more objective measure is whether there is a requirement for blood transfusion due to excessive blood loss during or following birth. This measurement is also not without difficulties; for example, decisions to perform blood transfusions depend on individual levels of patient toleranceand some patients refuse a transfusion for religious or other beliefs. However, as a broad measure of excessive blood loss and potential long-term morbidity due to that blood loss, this indicator is a useful measure of severe, life-threatening PPH.


This indicator aims to provide maternity service providers with an indicator of significant blood loss that will stimulate further investigation of clinical management and intervention. All maternity service providers should be familiar with the national consensus guideline for treatment of PPH (Ministry of Health 2013).

## Notes on 2015 data

District health boards should investigate the reasons behind the greater variation in rates of blood transfusion with caesarean sections. Because this indicator is a marker for PPH, the focus should be on understanding and addressing underlying causes, rather than addressing the indicator in isolation. All DHBs should ensure local practice aligns with the national consensus guideline for treatment of PPH (Ministry of Health 2013).


## Indicator 11: Blood transfusion during birth admission for caesarean section delivery, 2015

Figure : Percentage of women giving birth by caesarean section and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of women giving birth by caesarean section and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of women giving birth by caesarean section and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Caesarean sections with blood transfusion** | **All caesarean sections** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 9 | 319 | 2.8 |
| Waitemata | 38 | 2,161 | 1.8 |
| Auckland | 49 | 1,770 | 2.8 |
| Counties Manukau | 70 | 2,006 | 3.5 |
| Waikato | 27 | 980 | 2.8 |
| Lakes | 10 | 336 | 3.0 |
| Bay of Plenty | 14 | 649 | 2.2 |
| Tairāwhiti | 1 | 148 | 0.7 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 20 | 483 | 4.1 |
| Taranaki | 14 | 382 | 3.7 |
| MidCentral | 14 | 563 | 2.5 |
| Whanganui | 5 | 137 | 3.6 |
| Capital & Coast | 36 | 999 | 3.6 |
| Hutt Valley | 28 | 590 | 4.7 |
| Wairarapa | 2 | 155 | 1.3 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 7 | 408 | 1.7 |
| West Coast | 5 | 98 | 5.1 |
| Canterbury | 57 | 1,637 | 3.5 |
| South Canterbury | 8 | 153 | 5.2 |
| Southern | 22 | 870 | 2.5 |
| Unknown | 0 | 16 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **436** | **14,860** | **2.9** |


Table : Number and percentage of women giving birth by caesarean section and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Caesarean sections with blood transfusion** | **All caesarean sections** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 7 | 281 | 2.5 |
| North Shore | 17 | 1,230 | 1.4 |
| Waitakere | 10 | 655 | 1.5 |
| Auckland City | 77 | 2,467 | 3.1 |
| Middlemore | 55 | 1,665 | 3.3 |
| Waikato | 29 | 958 | 3.0 |
| Rotorua | 9 | 331 | 2.7 |
| Tauranga | 11 | 550 | 2.0 |
| Whakatane | 2 | 100 | 2.0 |
| Gisborne | 1 | 144 | 0.7 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 19 | 471 | 4.0 |
| Taranaki Base | 14 | 376 | 3.7 |
| Palmerston North | 14 | 557 | 2.5 |
| Whanganui | 5 | 113 | 4.4 |
| Wellington | 43 | 1,091 | 3.9 |
| Hutt | 23 | 572 | 4.0 |
| Wairarapa | 1 | 136 | 0.7 |
| Wairau | 4 | 138 | 2.9 |
| Nelson | 3 | 258 | 1.2 |
| Grey Base | 3 | 76 | 3.9 |
| Christchurch | 60 | 1,666 | 3.6 |
| Timaru | 7 | 142 | 4.9 |
| Dunedin | 5 | 508 | 1.0 |
| Southland | 17 | 365 | 4.7 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **436** | **14,850** | **2.9** |
| **All primary facilities** | **0** | **7** | **0.0** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **0** | **0.0** |
| **New Zealand1** | **436** | **14,860** | **2.9** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.


## Indicator 12: Blood transfusion during birth admission for vaginal birth, 2015

Figure : Percentage of women giving birth vaginally and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of women giving birth vaginally and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of women giving birth vaginally and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Vaginal births with blood transfusion** | **All vaginal births** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 28 | 1,820 | 1.5 |
| Waitemata | 100 | 5,400 | 1.9 |
| Auckland | 89 | 4,130 | 2.2 |
| Counties Manukau | 182 | 6,199 | 2.9 |
| Waikato | 65 | 4,309 | 1.5 |
| Lakes | 33 | 1,170 | 2.8 |
| Bay of Plenty | 45 | 2,142 | 2.1 |
| Tairāwhiti | 7 | 592 | 1.2 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 30 | 1,518 | 2.0 |
| Taranaki | 14 | 1,132 | 1.2 |
| MidCentral | 19 | 1,545 | 1.2 |
| Whanganui | 13 | 676 | 1.9 |
| Capital & Coast | 55 | 2,535 | 2.2 |
| Hutt Valley | 45 | 1,376 | 3.3 |
| Wairarapa | 3 | 307 | 1.0 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 14 | 1,008 | 1.4 |
| West Coast | 3 | 260 | 1.2 |
| Canterbury | 103 | 4,574 | 2.3 |
| South Canterbury | 4 | 507 | 0.8 |
| Southern | 35 | 2,545 | 1.4 |
| Unknown | 4 | 340 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **891** | **44,085** | **2.0** |

Table : Number and percentage of women giving birth vaginally and undergoing blood transfusion during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Vaginal births with blood transfusion** | **All vaginal births** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 21 | 1,182 | 1.8 |
| North Shore | 53 | 2,519 | 2.1 |
| Waitakere | 30 | 2,235 | 1.3 |
| Auckland City | 100 | 4,411 | 2.3 |
| Middlemore | 163 | 4,643 | 3.5 |
| Waikato | 48 | 2,546 | 1.9 |
| Rotorua | 32 | 922 | 3.5 |
| Tauranga | 26 | 1,217 | 2.1 |
| Whakatane | 15 | 433 | 3.5 |
| Gisborne | 6 | 525 | 1.1 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 29 | 1,345 | 2.2 |
| Taranaki Base | 12 | 976 | 1.2 |
| Palmerston North | 17 | 1,288 | 1.3 |
| Whanganui | 12 | 568 | 2.1 |
| Wellington | 55 | 2,198 | 2.5 |
| Hutt | 41 | 1,285 | 3.2 |
| Wairarapa | 3 | 274 | 1.1 |
| Wairau | 8 | 315 | 2.5 |
| Nelson | 6 | 564 | 1.1 |
| Grey Base | 2 | 152 | 1.3 |
| Christchurch | 88 | 3,488 | 2.5 |
| Timaru | 4 | 450 | 0.9 |
| Dunedin | 21 | 1,181 | 1.8 |
| Southland | 10 | 822 | 1.2 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **802** | **35,539** | **2.3** |
| **All primary facilities** | **65** | **5,756** | **1.1** |
| **All home births** | **14** | **2,150** | **0.7** |
| **New Zealand1** | **891** | **44,085** | **2.0** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicators 13 to 15: Severe maternal morbidity

## Rationale and purpose

Maternal mortality has long been monitored as an indicator of maternity system safety and quality. However, the number of maternal deaths in any given year is low. Impact of severe morbidity is significant and long term, of high personal cost to a woman and her family and of high financial cost to the health system. Monitoring severe morbidity allows a view of a larger, but still limited, set of cases that might provide a broader picture of the true impact of adverse outcomes in maternity in New Zealand and allow individual units to benchmark whether their rates of severe morbidity are consistent with those in other units. Cases of severe maternal morbidity should be subject to local multidisciplinary review for quality improvement purposes.

## Eclampsia (indicator 13)

Pre-eclampsia is a disorder of pregnancy characterised by [high blood pressure](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypertension) and [protein in the urine](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proteinuria). Pre-eclampsia affects between 2% and 8% of pregnancies worldwide. Eclampsia is a serious complication of pre-eclampsia and results in high rates of perinatal and maternal morbidity and mortality (WHO 2011). Eclampsia is considered preventable through early detection and management of pre-eclampsia. The purpose of this indicator is to drive local investigation, including case review, into the appropriate diagnosis and management of pre‑eclampsia with a view to decreasing the incidence of eclampsia.

## Peripartum hysterectomy (indicator 14)

Peripartum hysterectomy is a surgical intervention usually only performed to save a woman’s life, and usually when uncontrollable obstetric haemorrhage or extensive uterine rupture complicates birth. It is a marker of severe maternal morbidity, and may indicate the failure of upstream interventions to prevent and manage antecedents such as haemorrhage or prolonged obstructed labour. The purpose of this indicator is to drive local investigation including case review to reduce the need for this significant surgery.

## Mechanical ventilation (indicator 15)

Mechanical ventilation for greater than 24 hours of a pregnant or postpartum woman is a marker of severe maternal morbidity that does not distinguish by cause. It denotes a high degree of severity, and its measurement is more sensitive than measurement of intensive/special care unit admissions, as it is not dependent on local layout of facilities. The purpose of this indicator is to drive local investigation including case review of the reasons for mechanical ventilation of a pregnant or postpartum woman to identify opportunities to prevent or reduce severe maternal and perinatal morbidity.

## Notes on 2015 data

Of women giving birth in 2015:

* 26 were diagnosed with eclampsia during the birth admission
* 30 had a peripartum hysterectomy
* 16 were admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) and required over 24 hours of mechanical ventilation at some time during their pregnancy or postnatal period.

District health boards with cases should investigate each case to determine if there were opportunities for prevention.

## Indicator 13: Diagnosis of eclampsia during birth admission, 2015

Table : Number and percentage of women diagnosed with eclampsia during birth admission, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Diagnosis of eclampsia during birth admission** | **All women giving birth** |
| Northland | 1 | 2,139 |
| Waitemata | 5 | 7,561 |
| Auckland | 2 | 5,900 |
| Counties Manukau | 3 | 8,205 |
| Waikato | 2 | 5,289 |
| Lakes | 1 | 1,506 |
| Bay of Plenty | 0 | 2,791 |
| Tairāwhiti | 0 | 740 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 2 | 2,001 |
| Taranaki | 0 | 1,514 |
| MidCentral | 0 | 2,108 |
| Whanganui | 0 | 813 |
| Capital & Coast | 1 | 3,534 |
| Hutt Valley | 1 | 1,966 |
| Wairarapa | 2 | 462 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 2 | 1,416 |
| West Coast | 0 | 358 |
| Canterbury | 2 | 6,211 |
| South Canterbury | 2 | 660 |
| Southern | 0 | 3,415 |
| Unknown | 0 | 356 |
| **New Zealand** | **26** | **58,945** |

Table : Number and percentage of women diagnosed with eclampsia during birth admission, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Diagnosis of eclampsia during birth admission** | **All women giving birth** |
| Whangarei | 1 | 1,463 |
| North Shore | 3 | 3,749 |
| Waitakere | 1 | 2,890 |
| Auckland City | 2 | 6,878 |
| Middlemore | 4 | 6,308 |
| Waikato | 2 | 3,504 |
| Rotorua | 1 | 1,253 |
| Tauranga | 0 | 1,767 |
| Whakatane | 0 | 533 |
| Gisborne | 0 | 669 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 2 | 1,816 |
| Taranaki Base | 0 | 1,352 |
| Palmerston North | 0 | 1,845 |
| Whanganui | 0 | 681 |
| Wellington | 2 | 3,289 |
| Hutt | 1 | 1,857 |
| Wairarapa | 1 | 410 |
| Wairau | 1 | 453 |
| Nelson | 1 | 822 |
| Grey Base | 0 | 228 |
| Christchurch | 2 | 5,154 |
| Timaru | 2 | 592 |
| Dunedin | 0 | 1,689 |
| Southland | 0 | 1,187 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **26** | **50,389** |
| **All primary facilities** | **0** | **5,763** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **2,150** |
| **New Zealand1** | **26** | **58,945** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

## Indicator 14: Peripartum hysterectomy, 2015

Table : Number and percentage of women having a peripartum hysterectomy, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Peripartum hysterectomy** | **All women giving birth** |
| Northland | 1 | 2,139 |
| Waitemata | 1 | 7,561 |
| Auckland | 6 | 5,900 |
| Counties Manukau | 6 | 8,205 |
| Waikato | 2 | 5,289 |
| Lakes | 1 | 1,506 |
| Bay of Plenty | 0 | 2,791 |
| Tairāwhiti | 2 | 740 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 0 | 2,001 |
| Taranaki | 0 | 1,514 |
| MidCentral | 0 | 2,108 |
| Whanganui | 1 | 813 |
| Capital & Coast | 3 | 3,534 |
| Hutt Valley | 1 | 1,966 |
| Wairarapa | 0 | 462 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 0 | 1,416 |
| West Coast | 0 | 358 |
| Canterbury | 4 | 6,211 |
| South Canterbury | 1 | 660 |
| Southern | 1 | 3,415 |
| Unknown | 0 | 356 |
| **New Zealand** | **30** | **58,945** |

Table : Number and percentage of women having a peripartum hysterectomy, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Abdominal hysterectomy within 6 weeks of birth** | **All women giving birth** |
| Whangarei | 1 | 1,463 |
| North Shore | 0 | 3,749 |
| Waitakere | 1 | 2,890 |
| Auckland City | 6 | 6,878 |
| Middlemore | 6 | 6,308 |
| Waikato | 2 | 3,504 |
| Rotorua | 1 | 1,253 |
| Tauranga | 0 | 1,767 |
| Whakatane | 0 | 533 |
| Gisborne | 2 | 669 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 0 | 1,816 |
| Taranaki Base | 0 | 1,352 |
| Palmerston North | 0 | 1,845 |
| Whanganui | 1 | 681 |
| Wellington | 3 | 3,289 |
| Hutt | 1 | 1,857 |
| Wairarapa | 0 | 410 |
| Wairau | 0 | 453 |
| Nelson | 0 | 822 |
| Grey Base | 0 | 228 |
| Christchurch | 5 | 5,154 |
| Timaru | 0 | 592 |
| Dunedin | 0 | 1,689 |
| Southland | 1 | 1,187 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **30** | **50,389** |
| **All primary facilities** | **0** | **5,763** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **2,150** |
| **New Zealand1** | **30** | **58,945** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

## Indicator 15: Mechanical ventilation during pregnancy or postnatal period, 2015

Table : Number and percentage of women admitted to ICU and requiring over 24 hours of mechanical ventilation any time during the pregnancy or postnatal period, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **ICU admission with over 24 hours of mechanical ventilation** | **All women giving birth** |
| Northland | 1 | 2,139 |
| Waitemata | 0 | 7,561 |
| Auckland | 4 | 5,900 |
| Counties Manukau | 2 | 8,205 |
| Waikato | 1 | 5,289 |
| Lakes | 0 | 1,506 |
| Bay of Plenty | 0 | 2,791 |
| Tairāwhiti | 0 | 740 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 0 | 2,001 |
| Taranaki | 0 | 1,514 |
| MidCentral | 0 | 2,108 |
| Whanganui | 0 | 813 |
| Capital & Coast | 0 | 3,534 |
| Hutt Valley | 2 | 1,966 |
| Wairarapa | 0 | 462 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 0 | 1,416 |
| West Coast | 0 | 358 |
| Canterbury | 3 | 6,211 |
| South Canterbury | 1 | 660 |
| Southern | 2 | 3,415 |
| Unknown | 0 | 356 |
| **New Zealand** | **16** | **58,945** |

Table : Number and percentage of women admitted to ICU and requiring over 24 hours of mechanical ventilation any time during the pregnancy or postnatal period, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **ICU admission with over 24 hours of mechanical ventilation** | **All women giving birth** |
| Whangarei | 1 | 1,463 |
| North Shore | 0 | 3,749 |
| Waitakere | 0 | 2,890 |
| Auckland City | 5 | 6,878 |
| Middlemore | 1 | 6,308 |
| Waikato | 3 | 3,504 |
| Rotorua | 0 | 1,253 |
| Tauranga | 0 | 1,767 |
| Whakatane | 0 | 533 |
| Gisborne | 0 | 669 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 0 | 1,816 |
| Taranaki Base | 0 | 1,352 |
| Palmerston North | 0 | 1,845 |
| Whanganui | 0 | 681 |
| Wellington | 0 | 3,289 |
| Hutt | 0 | 1,857 |
| Wairarapa | 0 | 410 |
| Wairau | 0 | 453 |
| Nelson | 0 | 822 |
| Grey Base | 0 | 228 |
| Christchurch | 3 | 5,154 |
| Timaru | 1 | 592 |
| Dunedin | 1 | 1,689 |
| Southland | 1 | 1,187 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **16** | **50,389** |
| **All primary facilities** | **0** | **5,763** |
| **All home births** | **0** | **2,150** |
| **New Zealand1** | **16** | **58,945** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicator 16: Maternal tobacco use during postnatal period

## Rationale and purpose

Smoking during pregnancy leads to increased carbon monoxide concentration in the blood of both the mother and her baby, resulting in reduced oxygen and nourishment available to the baby. This increases the risk of babies being born with a low birth weight and increases the risk of neonatal mortality, sudden and unexpected death in infancy and long-term respiratory problems for the child (The Quit Group 2004).

This indicator monitors maternal tobacco use at two weeks postnatal, which potentially identifies the number of women who have continued to smoke during pregnancy and following the birth as well as those who have re-commenced smoking following the birth. This indicator can be used to identify support needs of women and families in terms of support to stop smoking.

Improving this indicator will require providers to ensure they offer coordinated tobacco cessation support during pregnancy and into the postnatal period that meets the needs of local populations. It will require tobacco cessation services to work closely with LMCs and DHB maternity services.


## Notes on 2015 data

Rates of maternal tobacco use in the postnatal period (measured at two weeks after birth) varied between DHBs and between secondary and tertiary facility of birth; DHB rates ranged from 2.8% to 30.6%, and facility rates ranged from 1.7% to 31.1%. District health boards and facilities with higher rates should undertake further investigation into their provision of appropriate smoking cessation services and development of new initiatives to support smoking cessation among pregnant and postpartum women, particularly among population groups known to have high rates of tobacco use.

This indicator currently presents tobacco use information from women registered with an LMC or a DHB primary maternity service. Completeness of this data varies between DHBs, ranging from 49% to 97% of all women giving birth (over 90% complete for 13 DHBs).


## Indicator 16: Maternal tobacco use during postnatal period, 2015

Figure : Percentage of women identified as smokers during postnatal period (2 weeks after birth), by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of women identified as smokers during postnatal period (2 weeks after birth), by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of women identified as smokers during postnatal period (2 weeks after birth), by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Women identified as smokers at 2 weeks after birth** | **All women with reported smoking status at 2 weeks after birth** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 524 | 1,971 | 26.6 |
| Waitemata | 364 | 7,265 | 5.0 |
| Auckland | 153 | 5,488 | 2.8 |
| Counties Manukau | 617 | 6,486 | 9.5 |
| Waikato | 859 | 5,023 | 17.1 |
| Lakes | 326 | 1,455 | 22.4 |
| Bay of Plenty | 502 | 2,721 | 18.4 |
| Tairāwhiti | 209 | 682 | 30.6 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 365 | 1,840 | 19.8 |
| Taranaki | 224 | 1,480 | 15.1 |
| MidCentral | 354 | 1,954 | 18.1 |
| Whanganui | 169 | 735 | 23.0 |
| Capital & Coast | 222 | 3,302 | 6.7 |
| Hutt Valley | 208 | 1,855 | 11.2 |
| Wairarapa | 57 | 454 | 12.6 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 140 | 1,203 | 11.6 |
| West Coast | 66 | 338 | 19.5 |
| Canterbury | 637 | 6,112 | 10.4 |
| South Canterbury | 67 | 516 | 13.0 |
| Southern | 454 | 3,308 | 13.7 |
| Unknown | 38 | 227 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **6,555** | **54,415** | **12.0** |

Table : Number and percentage of women identified as smokers during postnatal period (2 weeks after birth), by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Women identified as smokers at 2 weeks after birth** | **All women with reported smoking status at 2 weeks after birth** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 338 | 1,335 | 25.3 |
| North Shore | 119 | 3,617 | 3.3 |
| Waitakere | 220 | 2,771 | 7.9 |
| Auckland City | 108 | 6,480 | 1.7 |
| Middlemore | 497 | 4,611 | 10.8 |
| Waikato | 532 | 3,311 | 16.1 |
| Rotorua | 279 | 1,215 | 23.0 |
| Tauranga | 273 | 1,732 | 15.8 |
| Whakatane | 160 | 514 | 31.1 |
| Gisborne | 180 | 615 | 29.3 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 338 | 1,694 | 20.0 |
| Taranaki Base | 181 | 1,326 | 13.7 |
| Palmerston North | 287 | 1,695 | 16.9 |
| Whanganui | 143 | 631 | 22.7 |
| Wellington | 202 | 3,024 | 6.7 |
| Hutt | 202 | 1,770 | 11.4 |
| Wairarapa | 51 | 405 | 12.6 |
| Wairau | 48 | 359 | 13.4 |
| Nelson | 73 | 711 | 10.3 |
| Grey Base | 46 | 215 | 21.4 |
| Christchurch | 514 | 5,067 | 10.1 |
| Timaru | 49 | 453 | 10.8 |
| Dunedin | 200 | 1,645 | 12.2 |
| Southland | 178 | 1,137 | 15.7 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **5,218** | **46,333** | **11.3** |
| **All primary facilities** | **1,002** | **5,461** | **18.3** |
| **All home births** | **244** | **2,103** | **11.6** |
| **New Zealand1** | **6,555** | **54,415** | **12.0** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicator 17: Maternal obesity

## Rationale and purpose

Maternal obesity (where obesity is defined as a BMI of 30+) can result in negative outcomes for both women and fetuses. The maternal risks during pregnancy include gestational diabetes and pre-eclampsia. The fetus is at risk for stillbirth and congenital anomalies. Obesity in pregnancy can also affect health later in life for both mother and child. For women, these risks include heart disease and hypertension. Offspring have increased risks of future obesity and heart disease. Women and their offspring are also at increased risk for diabetes (Leddy et al 2008).

A BMI of 35+ in early pregnancy is associated with a number of pregnancy and birth complications and perinatal conditions. The risk of complications and perinatal conditions increases further for women with a BMI of 40+ (Cedergen 2004).

The *Guidelines for Consultation with Obstetric and Related Medical Services (Referral Guidelines)* (Ministry of Health 2012) recommend providers refer for consultation all women with a BMI over 35 and transfer clinical responsibility to specialist services for all women with a BMI over 40.

District health boards with high rates of women with obesity, and in particular with a BMI over 35, should consider strategies for prevention and reduction of obesity within their population and provide sufficient resources to ensure that high-quality services are available for women who are obese during pregnancy.

## Notes on 2015 data


Rates of women giving birth with BMI over 35 at registration varied between DHBs, ranging from 6.6% to 15.5%.

Data presented for this indicator may reflect variation in practices regarding measurement and recording of maternal height and weight and is impacted by the gestation at registration

This indicator currently presents BMI data collected from women registered with an LMC or a DHB primary maternity service. Completeness of this data varies between DHBs, ranging from 55% to 99% of all women giving birth (over 90% complete for 15 DHBs).


## Indicator 17: Women with BMI over 35, 2015

Figure : Percentage of women giving birth with BMI over 35 at registration, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of women giving birth with BMI over 35 at registration, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of women giving birth with BMI over 35 at registration, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Women with BMI > 35 at registration** | | | **All women with reported BMI** | **Rate (%)** |
| **36–40** | **> 40** | **Total** |
| Northland | 146 | 78 | 224 | 2,090 | 10.7 |
| Waitemata | 349 | 197 | 546 | 7,440 | 7.3 |
| Auckland | 256 | 194 | 450 | 5,705 | 7.9 |
| Counties Manukau | 654 | 412 | 1,066 | 6,873 | 15.5 |
| Waikato | 315 | 199 | 514 | 5,138 | 10.0 |
| Lakes | 101 | 60 | 161 | 1,493 | 10.8 |
| Bay of Plenty | 152 | 85 | 237 | 2,772 | 8.5 |
| Tairāwhiti | 44 | 31 | 75 | 735 | 10.2 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 123 | 62 | 185 | 1,878 | 9.9 |
| Taranaki | 83 | 50 | 133 | 1,503 | 8.8 |
| MidCentral | 120 | 57 | 177 | 2,029 | 8.7 |
| Whanganui | 61 | 28 | 89 | 770 | 11.6 |
| Capital & Coast | 152 | 84 | 236 | 3,405 | 6.9 |
| Hutt Valley | 113 | 74 | 187 | 1,860 | 10.1 |
| Wairarapa | 36 | 14 | 50 | 461 | 10.8 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 56 | 26 | 82 | 1,234 | 6.6 |
| West Coast | 20 | 12 | 32 | 343 | 9.3 |
| Canterbury | 292 | 163 | 455 | 6,192 | 7.3 |
| South Canterbury | 48 | 23 | 71 | 649 | 10.9 |
| Southern | 178 | 94 | 272 | 3,400 | 8.0 |
| Unknown | 9 | 4 | 13 | 241 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **3,308** | **1,947** | **5,255** | **56,211** | **9.3** |

Table : Number and percentage of women giving birth with BMI over 35 at registration, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Women with BMI > 35 at registration** | | | **All women with reported BMI** | **Rate (%)** |
| **36–40** | **> 40** | **Total** |
| Whangarei | 116 | 61 | 177 | 1,418 | 12.5 |
| North Shore | 175 | 138 | 313 | 3,676 | 8.5 |
| Waitakere | 126 | 20 | 146 | 2,834 | 5.2 |
| Auckland City | 288 | 218 | 506 | 6,760 | 7.5 |
| Middlemore | 582 | 394 | 976 | 4,964 | 19.7 |
| Waikato | 227 | 162 | 389 | 3,415 | 11.4 |
| Rotorua | 89 | 55 | 144 | 1,245 | 11.6 |
| Tauranga | 97 | 49 | 146 | 1,756 | 8.3 |
| Whakatane | 38 | 26 | 64 | 528 | 12.1 |
| Gisborne | 41 | 28 | 69 | 663 | 10.4 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 115 | 59 | 174 | 1,728 | 10.1 |
| Taranaki Base | 75 | 50 | 125 | 1,343 | 9.3 |
| Palmerston North | 100 | 54 | 154 | 1,766 | 8.7 |
| Whanganui | 52 | 22 | 74 | 657 | 11.3 |
| Wellington | 145 | 87 | 232 | 3,135 | 7.4 |
| Hutt | 107 | 75 | 182 | 1,769 | 10.3 |
| Wairarapa | 33 | 9 | 42 | 409 | 10.3 |
| Wairau | 21 | 8 | 29 | 370 | 7.8 |
| Nelson | 33 | 17 | 50 | 728 | 6.9 |
| Grey Base | 18 | 10 | 28 | 216 | 13.0 |
| Christchurch | 246 | 154 | 400 | 5,132 | 7.8 |
| Timaru | 45 | 20 | 65 | 583 | 11.1 |
| Dunedin | 88 | 52 | 140 | 1,678 | 8.3 |
| Southland | 67 | 36 | 103 | 1,183 | 8.7 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **2,924** | **1,804** | **4,728** | **47,956** | **9.9** |
| **All primary facilities** | **278** | **95** | **373** | **5,577** | **6.7** |
| **All home births** | **71** | **35** | **106** | **2,143** | **4.9** |
| **New Zealand1** | **3,308** | **1,947** | **5,255** | **56,211** | **9.3** |

1 Includes women where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicator 18: Preterm birth

## Rationale and purpose

Preterm birth is a significant contributor to perinatal mortality and neonatal morbidity, especially for babies born under 32 weeks’ gestation. Preterm birth is among the top causes of death in infants worldwide (WHO 2013).

Preterm birth may have a number of consequences, including:

* higher neonatal mortality and morbidity
* long-term effects on babies such as poorer neurodevelopmental and educational outcomes, more hospital admissions and increased general disease burden in childhood
* greater use of health resources
* long-term effects on disease risk through to adulthood, such as hypertension and diabetes.

Spontaneous onset of labour, premature rupture of membranes, multiple pregnancy and pregnancy-induced hypertension are the most common causes of preterm birth.

Management of maternal hypertension and tobacco use may reduce rates of early preterm birth. Clinical decision-making regarding timing of induction and elective caesarean section affects rates of late preterm birth.


Recent investigation by the National Maternity Monitoring Group found that rates of preterm birth at 34 and 35 weeks’ gestation remained fairly constant over the four years from 2008 to 2011. However, preterm births at 36 weeks’ gestation have increased. This may represent changes in planned preterm births. The National Maternity Monitoring Group recommends that all DHBs should audit preterm births in their region; particularly births at 34, 35 and 36 weeks.

## Notes on 2015 data

Overall rates of preterm birth (< 37 weeks’ gestation) varied between DHBs, ranging from 5.6% to 9.2%, and varied more widely between secondary and tertiary facilities, ranging from 1.3% to 11.4%. The latter variation is likely to reflect clinical decision-making on place of birth for women in preterm labour and at risk of iatrogenic preterm birth.


## Indicator 18: Preterm births, 2015

Figure : Percentage of preterm births, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of preterm births, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table : Number and percentage of preterm births, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Babies born under 37 weeks’ gestation** | | | **All babies born (live births)** | **Rate (%)** |
| **< 32 weeks** | **32–36 weeks** | **Total** |
| Northland | 19 | 104 | 123 | 2,106 | 5.8 |
| Waitemata | 82 | 406 | 488 | 7,602 | 6.4 |
| Auckland | 62 | 332 | 394 | 5,959 | 6.6 |
| Counties Manukau | 112 | 533 | 645 | 8,250 | 7.8 |
| Waikato | 73 | 301 | 374 | 5,361 | 7.0 |
| Lakes | 20 | 96 | 116 | 1,519 | 7.6 |
| Bay of Plenty | 27 | 156 | 183 | 2,783 | 6.6 |
| Tairāwhiti | 2 | 41 | 43 | 769 | 5.6 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 17 | 143 | 160 | 2,007 | 8.0 |
| Taranaki | 22 | 86 | 108 | 1,527 | 7.1 |
| MidCentral | 32 | 162 | 194 | 2,117 | 9.2 |
| Whanganui | 9 | 50 | 59 | 820 | 7.2 |
| Capital & Coast | 44 | 218 | 262 | 3,549 | 7.4 |
| Hutt Valley | 24 | 150 | 174 | 1,985 | 8.8 |
| Wairarapa | 6 | 20 | 26 | 428 | 6.1 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 16 | 83 | 99 | 1,434 | 6.9 |
| West Coast | 6 | 23 | 29 | 359 | 8.1 |
| Canterbury | 73 | 442 | 515 | 6,260 | 8.2 |
| South Canterbury | 8 | 39 | 47 | 667 | 7.0 |
| Southern | 40 | 220 | 260 | 3,441 | 7.6 |
| Unknown | 10 | 12 | 22 | 358 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **704** | **3,617** | **4,321** | **59,301** | **7.3** |

Table : Number and percentage of preterm births, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Babies born under 37 weeks’ gestation** | | | **All babies born (live births)** | **Rate (%)** |
| **< 32 weeks** | **32–36 weeks** | **Total** |
| Whangarei | 7 | 82 | 89 | 1,480 | 6.0 |
| North Shore | 12 | 198 | 210 | 3,776 | 5.6 |
| Waitakere | 6 | 123 | 129 | 2,926 | 4.4 |
| Auckland City | 141 | 493 | 634 | 6,999 | 9.1 |
| Middlemore | 110 | 468 | 578 | 6,412 | 9.0 |
| Waikato | 95 | 267 | 362 | 3,565 | 10.2 |
| Rotorua | 7 | 88 | 95 | 1,277 | 7.4 |
| Tauranga | 8 | 120 | 128 | 1,791 | 7.1 |
| Whakatane | 4 | 38 | 42 | 542 | 7.7 |
| Gisborne | 0 | 31 | 31 | 668 | 4.6 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 9 | 136 | 145 | 1,840 | 7.9 |
| Taranaki Base | 15 | 84 | 99 | 1,372 | 7.2 |
| Palmerston North | 19 | 145 | 164 | 1,878 | 8.7 |
| Whanganui | 2 | 40 | 42 | 683 | 6.1 |
| Wellington | 113 | 269 | 382 | 3,350 | 11.4 |
| Hutt | 7 | 135 | 142 | 1,868 | 7.6 |
| Wairarapa | 1 | 19 | 20 | 411 | 4.9 |
| Wairau | 2 | 26 | 28 | 454 | 6.2 |
| Nelson | 3 | 52 | 55 | 833 | 6.6 |
| Grey Base | 1 | 2 | 3 | 228 | 1.3 |
| Christchurch | 79 | 461 | 540 | 5,222 | 10.3 |
| Timaru | 3 | 19 | 22 | 595 | 3.7 |
| Dunedin | 34 | 112 | 146 | 1,715 | 8.5 |
| Southland | 8 | 93 | 101 | 1,199 | 8.4 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **686** | **3,501** | **4,187** | **51,084** | **8.2** |
| **All primary facilities** | **4** | **63** | **67** | **5,763** | **1.2** |
| **All home births** | **2** | **48** | **50** | **2,150** | **2.3** |
| **New Zealand1** | **704** | **3,617** | **4,321** | **59,301** | **7.3** |

1 Includes babies without a birth location recorded.

# Indicators 19 and 20: Small for gestational age at term

## Rationale and purpose

Infants who are born small for gestational age (SGA) are at increased risk of neonatal morbidity and mortality, reduced growth through childhood, lower childhood neurodevelopmental scores, reduced educational attainment and increased lifetime risk for impaired glucose tolerance, including type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease (Arcangeli et al 2012; Lawn et al 2014).

Placental disease (including that associated with pre-eclampsia) and smoking are common causes of poor fetal growth leading to SGA babies. Appropriate management of women at increased risk of SGA (those with a past history of SGA, hypertension or obesity, and those who smoke) may reduce the risk. Timely detection of poor fetal growth in those women with or without risk factors for SGA may reduce the risk of stillbirth by presenting the opportunity for enhanced surveillance and iatrogenic preterm birth.

## Small babies at term (indicator 19)

This indicator measures the proportion of all babies born at term gestation who are small for their gestational age. This is defined as less than the 10th percentile for birthweight on the INTERGROWTH-21 growth charts for gestational ages 37 to 42 weeks. INTERGROWTH-21, an international consortium on issues concerning fetal growth, developed and published these growth standards, using the same methodology as the WHO childhood growth standards ([www.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/pages/factsheet-2-growth-charts-well-child.pdf](http://www.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/pages/factsheet-2-growth-charts-well-child.pdf)) recommended for use in New Zealand. The percentage of babies within New Zealand that fall above or below a given percentile on these charts may be different from population charts.

There is extensive evidence for maternal factors leading to SGA, including smoking, hypertension, pre-eclampsia, poorly controlled diabetes, obesity and poor nutrition. This indicator is intended to drive multidisciplinary review of the prevention and management of poor fetal growth at a population level, with the potential for reducing risk of both SGA and stillbirth.

## Small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation (indicator 20)

This indicator measures the proportion of SGA babies at term gestation (37–42 weeks) that were born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation.

This indicator is intended to drive review of clinical practice management for the identification and management of poor fetal growth at term. Evidence/best practice recommends the expedited birth of babies identified as SGA once they reach term, and ideally before 40 weeks; therefore, this indicator represents the proportion of unrecognised or sub-optimally managed cases.

## Notes on 2015 data


Of all babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation, the proportion of SGA babies was generally low, but varied two-fold across the DHBs, ranging from 2.4% to 4.7%, and from 2.2% to 5.3% across secondary and tertiary facilities.

Of all SGA babies who were born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation, the proportion of those who were born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation ranged widely from 28.9% to 56.3% between DHBs, and from 26.7% to 56.3% across secondary and tertiary facilities. These rates were based on small numbers (in both numerator and denominator), so caution must be used when making comparisons.

Nevertheless, DHBs with high rates of SGA babies born at 40-42 weeks’ gestation should consider whether investigation may lead to earlier detection of these babies that are at significantly increased risk of stillbirth and perinatal compromise.


## Indicator 19: Small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation), 2015

Figure : Percentage of small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation), by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage 0f small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation), by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table 39: Number and percentage of small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation), by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation** | **Babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 68 | 1,975 | 3.4 |
| Waitemata | 212 | 7,104 | 3.0 |
| Auckland | 188 | 5,556 | 3.4 |
| Counties Manukau | 233 | 7,587 | 3.1 |
| Waikato | 186 | 4,935 | 3.8 |
| Lakes | 38 | 1,401 | 2.7 |
| Bay of Plenty | 87 | 2,586 | 3.4 |
| Tairāwhiti | 20 | 722 | 2.8 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 60 | 1,819 | 3.3 |
| Taranaki | 38 | 1,419 | 2.7 |
| MidCentral | 53 | 1,919 | 2.8 |
| Whanganui | 36 | 758 | 4.7 |
| Capital & Coast | 103 | 3,281 | 3.1 |
| Hutt Valley | 62 | 1,809 | 3.4 |
| Wairarapa | 11 | 402 | 2.7 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 32 | 1,333 | 2.4 |
| West Coast | 9 | 327 | 2.8 |
| Canterbury | 147 | 5,741 | 2.6 |
| South Canterbury | 16 | 617 | 2.6 |
| Southern | 82 | 3,177 | 2.6 |
| Unknown | 6 | 286 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **1,687** | **54,754** | **3.1** |


Table 40: Number and percentage of small babies at term (37–42 weeks’ gestation), by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation** | **Babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 52 | 1,389 | 3.7 |
| North Shore | 114 | 3,565 | 3.2 |
| Waitakere | 85 | 2,794 | 3.0 |
| Auckland City | 221 | 6,362 | 3.5 |
| Middlemore | 208 | 5,821 | 3.6 |
| Waikato | 149 | 3,194 | 4.7 |
| Rotorua | 37 | 1,182 | 3.1 |
| Tauranga | 53 | 1,657 | 3.2 |
| Whakatane | 26 | 497 | 5.2 |
| Gisborne | 18 | 636 | 2.8 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 61 | 1,681 | 3.6 |
| Taranaki Base | 35 | 1,273 | 2.7 |
| Palmerston North | 52 | 1,711 | 3.0 |
| Whanganui | 34 | 639 | 5.3 |
| Wellington | 102 | 2,966 | 3.4 |
| Hutt | 62 | 1,726 | 3.6 |
| Wairarapa | 11 | 391 | 2.8 |
| Wairau | 11 | 425 | 2.6 |
| Nelson | 18 | 778 | 2.3 |
| Grey Base | 5 | 225 | 2.2 |
| Christchurch | 133 | 4,682 | 2.8 |
| Timaru | 16 | 572 | 2.8 |
| Dunedin | 38 | 1,568 | 2.4 |
| Southland | 37 | 1,098 | 3.4 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **1,578** | **46,832** | **3.4** |
| **All primary facilities** | **106** | **5,656** | **1.9** |
| **All home births** | **3** | **2,037** | **0.1** |
| **New Zealand1** | **1,687** | **54,754** | **3.1** |

1 Includes babies where birth location was unspecified.


## Indicator 20: Small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation, 2015

Figure : Percentage of small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table 41: Number and percentage of small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Babies born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation** | **Babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 24 | 68 | 35.3 |
| Waitemata | 70 | 212 | 33.0 |
| Auckland | 66 | 188 | 35.1 |
| Counties Manukau | 73 | 233 | 31.3 |
| Waikato | 83 | 186 | 44.6 |
| Lakes | 11 | 38 | 28.9 |
| Bay of Plenty | 42 | 87 | 48.3 |
| Tairāwhiti | 7 | 20 | 35.0 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 24 | 60 | 40.0 |
| Taranaki | 20 | 38 | 52.6 |
| MidCentral | 18 | 53 | 34.0 |
| Whanganui | 13 | 36 | 36.1 |
| Capital & Coast | 41 | 103 | 39.8 |
| Hutt Valley | 28 | 62 | 45.2 |
| Wairarapa | 5 | 11 | 45.5 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 13 | 32 | 40.6 |
| West Coast | 3 | 9 | 33.3 |
| Canterbury | 55 | 147 | 37.4 |
| South Canterbury | 9 | 16 | 56.3 |
| Southern | 39 | 82 | 47.6 |
| Unknown | 3 | 6 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **647** | **1,687** | **38.4** |

Table 42: Number and percentage of small babies at term born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Babies born at 40–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation** | **Babies born at 37–42 weeks’ gestation with birthweight under the 10th centile for their gestation** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 19 | 52 | 36.5 |
| North Shore | 36 | 114 | 31.6 |
| Waitakere | 40 | 85 | 47.1 |
| Auckland City | 59 | 221 | 26.7 |
| Middlemore | 66 | 208 | 31.7 |
| Waikato | 62 | 149 | 41.6 |
| Rotorua | 11 | 37 | 29.7 |
| Tauranga | 26 | 53 | 49.1 |
| Whakatane | 10 | 26 | 38.5 |
| Gisborne | 7 | 18 | 38.9 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 24 | 61 | 39.3 |
| Taranaki Base | 17 | 35 | 48.6 |
| Palmerston North | 18 | 52 | 34.6 |
| Whanganui | 14 | 34 | 41.2 |
| Wellington | 38 | 102 | 37.3 |
| Hutt | 26 | 62 | 41.9 |
| Wairarapa | 6 | 11 | 54.5 |
| Wairau | 4 | 11 | 36.4 |
| Nelson | 7 | 18 | 38.9 |
| Grey Base | 2 | 5 | 40.0 |
| Christchurch | 46 | 133 | 34.6 |
| Timaru | 9 | 16 | 56.3 |
| Dunedin | 20 | 38 | 52.6 |
| Southland | 17 | 37 | 45.9 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **584** | **1,578** | **37.0** |
| **All primary facilities** | **62** | **106** | **58.5** |
| **All home births** | **1** | **3** | **33.3** |
| **New Zealand1** | **647** | **1,687** | **38.4** |

1 Includes babies where birth location was unspecified.

# Indicator 21: Term babies requiring respiratory support

## Rationale and purpose

Respiratory support for a baby born at term is a marker of severe morbidity that does not distinguish by cause and denotes a high degree of severity. It is a more specific measure of severity than measurement of neonatal intensive/special care unit admissions, as it is not dependent on variations in local layout of facilities and in admission practices. The underlying factors driving the need for respiratory support at term may be more amenable than those driving respiratory support of the preterm infant, where prematurity is the largest driver. Respiratory support in this indicator includes both mechanical and non-invasive ventilation where the sum of both is greater than four hours.

The purpose of this indicator is to drive local investigation, including case review, of the reasons for the need for respiratory support of term babies to identify opportunities to prevent or reduce perinatal morbidity.


Data presented for this indicator may reflect variation in reporting practices regarding respiratory support for babies. This should be addressed locally; all DHBs should ensure data they report to the national collections is accurate and complete.

## Notes on 2015 data

There was considerable variation in the rate of babies born at term (37+ weeks’ gestation) requiring respiratory support, ranging from 0.9% to 3.9% across the DHBs, and from 0% to 4.9% across secondary and tertiary facilities. This warrants further investigation at the local level.


## Indicator 21: Babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, 2015

Figure : Percentage of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, by DHB of residence, 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of DHBs; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure : Percentage of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015



Black line represents the median percentage of secondary and tertiary facilities; dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.  
Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table 43: Number and percentage of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, by DHB of residence, 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DHB of residence** | **Babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring over 4 hours of respiratory support** | **Babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation** | **Rate (%)** |
| Northland | 77 | 1,979 | 3.9 |
| Waitemata | 98 | 7,110 | 1.4 |
| Auckland | 113 | 5,561 | 2.0 |
| Counties Manukau | 162 | 7,593 | 2.1 |
| Waikato | 114 | 4,980 | 2.3 |
| Lakes | 31 | 1,403 | 2.2 |
| Bay of Plenty | 38 | 2,598 | 1.5 |
| Tairāwhiti | 8 | 725 | 1.1 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 39 | 1,827 | 2.1 |
| Taranaki | 20 | 1,419 | 1.4 |
| MidCentral | 36 | 1,923 | 1.9 |
| Whanganui | 7 | 760 | 0.9 |
| Capital & Coast | 97 | 3,284 | 3.0 |
| Hutt Valley | 39 | 1,810 | 2.2 |
| Wairarapa | 4 | 402 | 1.0 |
| Nelson Marlborough | 20 | 1,334 | 1.5 |
| West Coast | 4 | 330 | 1.2 |
| Canterbury | 112 | 5,744 | 1.9 |
| South Canterbury | 8 | 620 | 1.3 |
| Southern | 31 | 3,180 | 1.0 |
| Unknown | 8 | 290 | - |
| **New Zealand** | **1,066** | **54,872** | **1.9** |

Table : Number and percentage of babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring respiratory support, by facility of birth (secondary and tertiary facilities), 2015

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Place of birth** | **Babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation requiring over 4 hours of respiratory support** | **Babies born at 37+ weeks’ gestation** | **Rate (%)** |
| Whangarei | 68 | 1,389 | 4.9 |
| North Shore | 41 | 3,565 | 1.2 |
| Waitakere | 37 | 2,796 | 1.3 |
| Auckland City | 188 | 6,363 | 3.0 |
| Middlemore | 141 | 5,825 | 2.4 |
| Waikato | 79 | 3,200 | 2.5 |
| Rotorua | 26 | 1,182 | 2.2 |
| Tauranga | 11 | 1,661 | 0.7 |
| Whakatane | 20 | 499 | 4.0 |
| Gisborne | 6 | 636 | 0.9 |
| Hawke’s Bay | 36 | 1,684 | 2.1 |
| Taranaki Base | 18 | 1,273 | 1.4 |
| Palmerston North | 28 | 1,714 | 1.6 |
| Whanganui | 5 | 641 | 0.8 |
| Wellington | 104 | 2,967 | 3.5 |
| Hutt | 40 | 1,726 | 2.3 |
| Wairarapa | 3 | 391 | 0.8 |
| Wairau | 3 | 425 | 0.7 |
| Nelson | 11 | 778 | 1.4 |
| Grey Base | 0 | 225 | 0.0 |
| Christchurch | 98 | 4,682 | 2.1 |
| Timaru | 8 | 573 | 1.4 |
| Dunedin | 14 | 1,568 | 0.9 |
| Southland | 13 | 1,098 | 1.2 |
| **All secondary and tertiary facilities** | **998** | **46,861** | **2.1** |
| **All primary facilities** | **50** | **5,683** | **0.9** |
| **All home births** | **15** | **2,089** | **0.7** |
| **New Zealand1** | **1,066** | **54,872** | **1.9** |

1 Includes babies where birth location was unspecified.

# References

AIHW National Perinatal Epidemiology and Statistics Unit and AIHW. 2013. *National core maternity indicators. Cat. no. PER 58*. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.

Arcangeli T, Thilaganathan B, Hooper R, et al. 2012. Neurodevelopmental delay in small babies at term: a systematic review. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 40: 267–75.

Australian Council on Healthcare Standards. 2008. *Australasian Clinical Indicator Report:  
2001–2008: Determining the potential to improve quality of care: 10th edition*. Ultimo, NSW: Australian Council on Healthcare Standards.

Cedergen MI. 2004. Maternal morbid obesity and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 103(2): 219–24.

Health Select Committee. 2013. Inquiry into improving child health outcomes and preventing child abuse, with a focus from preconception until three years of age. URL: www.parliament.nz/en-nz/pb/sc/documents/reports/50DBSCH\_SCR6007\_1/inquiry-into-improving-child-health-outcomes-and-preventing (accessed 22 August 2015).

Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, et al. 2014. Every newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. *Lancet* 384: 189–205.

Leddy MA, Power ML, Schulkin J. 2008. The Impact of Maternal Obesity on Maternal and Fetal Health. *Reviews in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1(4): 170–8.

Mainz J. 2003. Defining and classifying clinical indicators for quality improvement. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 15(6): 523–30. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzg081> (accessed 22 August 2015).

Ministry of Health. 2012. *Guidelines for Consultation with Obstetric and Related Medical Services (Referral Guidelines)*. Wellington: Ministry of Health.

Ministry of Health. 2013. *National Consensus Guideline for Treatment of Postpartum Haemorrhage*. Wellington: Ministry of Health.

Ministry of Health. 2015. *Report on Maternity, 2015*. Wellington: Ministry of Health.

National Maternity Monitoring Group. 2013. *Annual Report 2013*. Wellington: National Maternity Monitoring Group.

Newcombe RG. 1998. Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: Comparison of seven methods. *Statistics in Medicine* 17: 857–72.

NICE. 2008. *Antenatal Care. NICE Guideline C62*. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. URL: www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG62/chapter/Introduction (accessed 22 August 2015).

PMMRC. 2015. *Ninth Annual Report of the Perinatal and Maternal Mortality Review Committtee: Reporting mortality 2013.* Wellington: Health Quality & Safety Commission.

The Quit Group. 2004. *Smoking and Pregnancy*. URL: www.quit.org.nz/file/infoSheets/04SmokingAndPregnancy.pdf (accessed 22 August 2015).

Villar J, Ismail LC, Victora CG, et al. 2014. International standards for newborn weight, length, and head circumference by gestational age and sex: the Newborn Cross-Sectional Study of the INTERGROWTH-21st Project. *Lancet* 384(9946): 857–68. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60932-6 (accessed 22 August 2015).

WHA. 2007. *Findings from the Core Maternity Indicators Project Funded by the Australian Council on Safety and Quality in Health Care and Sponsored by the Department of Health, Western Australia*. Turner, ACT: Women’s Hospitals Australasia.

WHO. 2011. *Recommendations for Prevention and Treatment of Pre-eclampsia and Eclampsia*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

WHO. 2013. *Preterm Birth Factsheet*. URL: [www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs363/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs363/en/index.html) (accessed 22 August 2015).

# Appendices

## Appendix 1: National Maternity Collection

The Ministry of Health’s National Maternity Collection provides statistical, demographic and clinical information about selected publicly funded maternity services up to nine months before and three months after a birth. It collates data about each pregnancy that results in birth and each live-born baby separately from:

* inpatient and day-patient health event data during pregnancy, birth and the postnatal period for women giving birth and their babies, sourced from the National Minimum Dataset
* Lead Maternity Carer (LMC) claim forms for primary maternity services provided under the Primary Maternity Services Notice 2007
* primary maternity services provided by DHBs to women who do not have a midwife LMC.[[4]](#footnote-4)

These sources are collected for administrative purposes (including the funding of maternity services). The collection does not contain details of stillborn babies. Information about stillbirths is included in the Mortality Collection. Refer to the data dictionary ([www.health.govt.nz/publication/national-maternity-collection-data-dictionary](http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/national-maternity-collection-data-dictionary)) for more information on the data held in the National Maternity Collection.

### National Minimum Dataset

The National Minimum Dataset stores administrative information routinely collected for all publicly funded inpatients of a New Zealand maternity facility (hospitals and birthing units). This information contains a large amount of demographic and clinical data, including data on diagnoses and the procedures used. The information is assigned standardised codes that are internationally comparable. The classification system used is the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision, Australian Modification (ICD-10-AM). This system is designed for the classification of morbidity and mortality information for statistical, epidemiological and clinical purposes. Refer to the data dictionary ([www.health.govt.nz/publication/national-minimum-dataset-hospital-events-data-dictionary](http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/national-minimum-dataset-hospital-events-data-dictionary)) for more information on the data held in the National Minimum Dataset.

### Lead Maternity Carer claims data

The LMC claims data set contains information on women and babies who access primary maternity services provided under Section 88 of the New Zealand Public Health and Disability Act 2000. The information is received through the LMC claim forms, and includes all women registered with an LMC. This represented 91% of all women giving birth in 2014. Data sourced from LMC claim forms includes details on registration with an LMC, as well as other antenatal and postnatal factors (eg, parity, BMI, smoking status, breastfeeding status).

### DHB-funded primary maternity services data

Collection of this data set is under way. This data set contains information (similar to LMC claims data) on women who access DHB primary maternity services, including DHB caseload midwives, DHB primary midwifery teams and shared care arrangements.

The extent of primary maternity services being provided by DHBs varies significantly by DHB, ranging from DHBs that do not currently provide any primary maternity services to DHBs that provide primary maternity services to at least one-quarter of their women giving birth. Not all DHBs that provide primary maternity services have provided data to the National Maternity Collection.[[5]](#footnote-5)

Once complete, this data set will increase the scope of information the Ministry holds on women (and their babies) who access primary maternity services.

## Appendix 2: Technical notes

### Getting the data

In this publication, the National Maternity Collection is used as the primary source for identifying all women giving birth and live-born babies. Variables used to identify the women and babies were extracted from the National Maternity Collection, as well as the following variables: delivery date, place of birth, age, ethnicity, BMI, smoking status, parity, primary maternity care provider, gestation, and birthweight.

Parity, smoking status and BMI data in the National Maternity Collection is primarily sourced from LMC claim forms, with additional data from some DHB primary maternity services. This data is therefore only available for women registered with an LMC or with a DHB primary maternity service (95% of women giving birth in 2014).

Indicators 2–12 and 21 require additional information that is not available in the National Maternity Collection. Therefore, hospital events occurring during the pregnancy and postnatal period for these women and their babies were identified and extracted from the National Minimum Dataset.

Hospital events in the National Minimum Dataset are coded using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision, Australian Modification (ICD-10-AM). The 6th edition was used for hospital discharges up to 30 June 2014 and the 8th edition was used for hospital discharges from 1 July 2014 onwards. The clinical codes used are provided in the next section.

### Clinical codes and definitions

**Standard primiparae:** a group of mothers considered to be clinically comparable and expected to require low levels of obstetric intervention. Standard primiparae are defined in this report as women recorded in the National Maternity Collection (MAT) who meet all of the following inclusions:

* gave birth at a maternity facility or had a home birth[[6]](#footnote-6)
* are aged between 20 and 34 years (inclusive) at birth
* are pregnant with a single baby presenting in labour in cephalic position (see Tables A1 and A2)
* have no known prior pregnancy of 20 weeks and over gestation
* give birth to a live or stillborn baby at term gestation: between 37 and 41 weeks inclusive (based on gestational age recorded for the baby and exclusion criteria in Table A3)
* have no recorded obstetric complications in the present pregnancy that are indications for specific obstetric interventions (see Table A4).

Table A1: Singleton birth exclusion criteria

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| O300–O309 | Multiple gestation |
| O318 | Other complications specific to multiple gestation |
| O325 | Maternal care for multiple gestation |
| O632 | Delayed delivery of second twin, triplet, etc |
| O840–O849\* | Multiple delivery |
| Z372–Z377 | Outcome of delivery − twins or multiple |

\* Introduced in the 8th edition of ICD-10-AM

Table A2: Cephalic presentation exclusion criteria

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| 9047000 | Spontaneous breech delivery |
| 9047001 | Assisted breech delivery |
| 9047002 | Assisted breech delivery with forceps to after-coming head |
| 9047003 | Breech extraction |
| 9047004 | Breech extraction with forceps to after-coming head |
| O640−O649 | Labour and delivery affected by malposition and malpresentation of fetus |

Table A3: Duration of pregnancy (gestation exclusion criteria)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| O090−O095 | Duration of pregnancy under 37 weeks |
| O48 | Prolonged pregnancy |
| O601 | Preterm labour and delivery |

Table A4: Obstetric complications exclusion criteria

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| O10−O16 | Hypertension, proteinuria, pre-eclampsia, eclampsia |
| O240−O249 | Diabetes mellitus in pregnancy |
| O360, O361, O363, O364, O365 | Known or suspected fetal problems |
| O411, O420−O429 | Infection of the amniotic sac/membranes or premature rupture of membranes |
| O450–O459, O460−O469, O48 | Premature separation of placenta, antepartum haemorrhage, prolonged pregnancy |

**Spontaneous vaginal birth:** the birth of a baby without obstetric intervention (ie, without caesarean section, forceps or vacuum (ventouse)), identified by the presence of a spontaneous vaginal birth clinical code with no concurrent instrumental/caesarean section code (see Table A5). Spontaneous vaginal births may include births where labour has been induced or augmented. Women giving birth at home are counted as having had a spontaneous vaginal birth.

Table A5: Delivery type codes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| O80 | Single spontaneous delivery |
| O81 | Single delivery by forceps and vacuum extractor |
| O82 | Single delivery by caesarean section |
| O83\* | Other assisted single delivery |
| O840\* | Multiple delivery, all spontaneous |
| O841\* | Multiple delivery, all by forceps and vacuum extractor |
| O842\* | Multiple delivery, all by caesarean section |
| O848\* | Other multiple delivery |
| O849\* | Multiple delivery, unspecified |
| 9046700 | Spontaneous vertex delivery |
| 9046800−9046804 | Forceps delivery |
| 9046900 | Vacuum extraction with delivery |
| 1652000−1652003 | Caesarean section |

\* Introduced in the 8th edition of ICD-10-AM

**Instrumental vaginal birth:** a vaginal birth requiring instrumental assistance with no concurrent clinical code indicating a caesarean section. Interventions include forceps and/or vacuum (ventouse) extraction (see Table A5). Instrumental vaginal births do not include failed attempts at forceps or vacuum extraction (see Table A6).

Table A6: Excluded delivery procedure codes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| 9046805 | Failed forceps |
| 9046901 | Failed vacuum extraction |

**Caesarean section:** an operative birth through an abdominal incision. This definition includes emergency and elective, lower segment and classical caesarean sections, and it is identified by the presence of any caesarean section clinical code (see Table A5).

**Induction of labour:** an intervention to stimulate the onset of labour by pharmacological or other means, identified by induction of labour clinical codes (see Table A7).

Table A7: Induction procedure codes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| 9046500 | Medical induction of labour, oxytocin |
| 9046501 | Medical induction of labour, prostaglandin |
| 9046502 | Other medical induction of labour |
| 9046503 | Surgical induction of labour by artificial rupture of membranes |
| 9046504 | Other surgical induction of labour |
| 9046505 | Medical and surgical induction of labour |

**Intact lower genital tract:** identified by an absence of clinical codes indicating an episiotomy or a tear of any degree (first to fourth, and including ‘was unspecified’ degree) (see Table A8).

**Episiotomy:** an incision of the perineal tissue surrounding the vagina at the time of birth to facilitate delivery, identified by the presence of an episiotomy clinical code (see Table A8). Women giving birth at home are counted as having had a spontaneous vaginal birth without an episiotomy.

**Third- and fourth-degree tear:** a third- or fourth-degree perineal laceration during birth, identified by the presence of a third- or fourth-degree tear clinical code (see Table A8) in a hospital admission within three days after birth.

Table A8: Episiotomy and/or perineal tear codes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| 9047200 | Episiotomy |
| O700 | First-degree perineal laceration during delivery |
| O701 | Second-degree perineal laceration during delivery |
| O702 | Third-degree perineal laceration during delivery |
| O703 | Fourth-degree perineal laceration during delivery |
| O709 | Perineal laceration during delivery, was unspecified |
| 9048100 | Suture of first or second degree tear of perineum |
| 1657300 | Suture of third or fourth degree tear of perineum |

**General anaesthetic for a caesarean section birth:** identified by the presence of a general anaesthetic clinical code (see Table A9) and a caesarean section clinical code (see Table A5).

Table A9: General anaesthetic procedure code

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| 92514XX | General anaesthesia |

**Blood transfusion during birth admission:** identified by clinical codes for selected blood transfusion procedures (see Table A10) in a hospital admission within three days after birth.

Table A10: Blood transfusion procedure codes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| 1370601 | Administration of whole blood |
| 1370602 | Administration of packed cells |
| 1370603 | Administration of platelets |
| 9206000 | Administration of autologous blood |
| 9206200 | Administration of other serum |
| 9206300 | Administration of blood expander |
| 9206400 | Administration of other blood product |

**Diagnosis of eclampsia at birth admission:** identified by the presence of an eclampsia clinical code (see Table A11) during birth admission.

Table A11: Eclampsia codes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| O150 | Eclampsia in pregnancy |
| O151 | Eclampsia in labour |
| O152 | Eclampsia in the puerperium |
| O159 | Eclampsia, was unspecified as to time period |

**Diagnosis of peripartum hysterectomy:** identified by the presence of an abdominal hysterectomy clinical code (see Table A12) in a hospital admission within six weeks after birth.

Table A12: Peripartum hysterectomy codes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinical code (ICD-10-AM)** | **Description** |
| 3565300 | Subtotal abdominal hysterectomy |
| 3565301 | Total abdominal hysterectomy |
| 3565304 | Total abdominal hysterectomy with removal of adnexa |

**Mechanical ventilation required during pregnancy or postnatal period:** identified by any hospital admission during the pregnancy or postnatal period where the woman was in an intensive care unit and required more than 24 hours of mechanical ventilation.

**First trimester registration with a Lead Maternity Carer (LMC):** where date of registration with an LMC is within the first 12 completed weeks of pregnancy, based on the woman’s estimated date of delivery reported at registration.

**Preterm birth:** the birth of a live-born baby between 20 weeks 0 days and 36 weeks 6 days gestation.

**Small for gestational age:** applies to babies born with birthweight below the 10th percentile for their gestational age, based on smoothed centile tables for birthweight according to gestational age from the INTERGROWTH-21st project (see Table A13).

Table A13: 10th centile birthweight for male and female babies according to gestational age

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Gestational age (weeks)** | **Male (kg)** | **Female (kg)** |
| 37 | 2.38 | 2.33 |
| 38 | 2.57 | 2.50 |
| 39 | 2.73 | 2.65 |
| 40 | 2.88 | 2.78 |
| 41 | 3.01 | 2.89 |
| 42 | 3.12 | 2.98 |

Source: Villar et al 2014.

**Respiratory support during birth admission:** applies to a baby requiring over four hours of mechanical ventilation or of continuous positive airway pressure during a hospital admission within three days after birth.

### Other technical notes

**Facility graphs:** all facility graphs in this report present maternity events occurring in secondary and tertiary maternity facilities (hospitals) only, while DHB graphs present maternity events by DHB of residence and include births at all maternity facilities (including primary facilities). The aim of this is to enable the comparison of births for which clinicians have access to similar clinical facilities and interventions. Data for individual primary facilities is provided in the accompanying online tables. Care should be taken when making comparisons, because many primary units deal with only a small number of maternity events, meaning that in many cases differences between rates will not be statistically significant.

**Presentation of confidence intervals:** the error bars on the charts in this document represent 95% confidence intervals for the sample proportion, which have been calculated using the Wilson score (see Newcombe 1998).

**Southern DHB data:** in May 2010, Otago and Southland DHBs were merged into a single entity, Southern DHB, which began reporting to the Ministry of Health National Collections in 2011. All relevant data is reported in this report under ‘Southern DHB’.

**Christchurch and Christchurch Women’s data:** from 1 July 2009 maternity events that had previously been reported as occurring in Christchurch Women’s Hospital were reported as occurring in Christchurch Hospital. This change represents a change in the way the data is reported, rather than a change in patient care. For the purposes of this report, Christchurch Women’s Hospital and Christchurch Hospital events have been summed.

## Appendix 3: Catchment areas

The primary, secondary and tertiary maternity facilities that reported births between 2009 and 2015 are listed by DHB region (of location) in the table below. Their geographical locations are presented in Figure A1.

| **DHB** | **Tertiary facility1** | **Secondary facility2** | **Primary facility3** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Northland | Auckland City | Whangarei | Bay of Islands  Dargaville  Hokianga Health  Kaitaia |
| Waitemata | North Shore  Waitakere | Helensville  Warkworth  Wellsford |
| Auckland |  | Birthcare Auckland |
| Counties Manukau | Middlemore |  | Botany Downs  Papakura  Pukekohe |
| Waikato | Waikato |  | Birthcare Huntly  Matariki  Pohlen Trust  Rhoda Read  River Ridge  Taumaranui  Te Awamutu  Te Kuiti  Thames  Tokoroa  Waihi  Waterford |
| Lakes | Rotorua | Taupo |
| Bay of Plenty | Tauranga  Whakatane | Bethlehem  Murupara  Opotiki |
| Tairāwhiti | Gisborne | Ngati Porou Hauora |
| Taranaki | Taranaki Base | Elizabeth R\*  Hawera |
| Hawke’s Bay | Wellington | Hawke’s Bay Regional | Wairoa |
| MidCentral | Palmerston North | Dannevirke  Horowhenua |
| Whanganui | Whanganui | Otaihape  Waimarino |
| Capital & Coast |  | Kapiti  Kenepuru |
| Hutt Valley | Hutt |  |
| Wairarapa | Wairarapa |  |
| Nelson Marlborough | Wairau  Nelson | Golden Bay  Motueka |
| West Coast | Christchurch | Grey Base | Buller |
| Canterbury |  | Akaroa\*  Ashburton  Burwood  Darfield  Kaikoura  Lincoln  Rangiora  St George’s  Waikari\* |
| South Canterbury | Timaru |  |
| Southern | Dunedin  Southland | Charlotte Jean  Clutha  Dunstan\*  Gore  Lakes District  Lumsden  Maniototo  Oamaru  Tuatapere  Winton |


1 A facility that provides a multidisciplinary specialist team for women and babies with complex or rare maternity needs; for example, babies with major fetal disorders requiring prenatal diagnostic and fetal therapy services, or women with obstetric histories that significantly increase the risks during pregnancy, labour and delivery (for example, those who have already had two placental abruptions). This includes neonatal intensive care units.

2 A facility that provides additional care during the antenatal, labour and birth, and postnatal periods for women and babies who experience complications and who have a clinical need for either specialist consultation or transfer.

3 A facility that does not have inpatient secondary maternity services or 24-hour on-site availability of specialist obstetricians, paediatricians and anaesthetists. This includes birthing units.

\* These facilities did not provide birth care in 2015.

Figure A1: Maternity facilities in New Zealand by DHB and facility type (2009–2015)



1. The proportion of women giving birth for the first time (parity = 0) is approximately 40% (ranging from 33% to 48% by DHB of residence). This proportion is lower among women giving birth at home, as 19% of women giving birth at home were having their first baby (ranging from 12% to 27% of home births by DHB of residence). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Women who register with a DHB primary maternity service are not counted in this indicator. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Some indicators do not sum to 100% due to missing data codes for some events. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Collection of this data set (from 2014 onwards) is under way but is incomplete at this time. Data currently available in the National Maternity Collection has been included in this publication. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. From 2009 to 2014, approximately 87% of women giving birth registered to receive primary maternity care with an LMC and 5% registered to receive care from a DHB primary maternity service. Provision of care was unknown for 8% of women giving birth. It is expected that most of these women received care from the respective DHB primary maternity services (not yet reporting), but some may not have received any primary maternity care (Ministry of Health 2015). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. Place of birth is designated as ‘home’ if there was an LMC claim for home birth supplies and no corresponding record for a birth at a maternity facility. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)